Whitmore v. Snyder et al Doc. 152

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

TROY D. WHITMORE,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Civil No. 04-837-JPG-CJP
LIEUTENANT WALKER,)
LIEUTENANT WESTERMAN,)
LIEUTENANT FERRELL, C/O FRITZ,)
C/O MEZO, LIEUTENANT REES,)
SERGEANT CARTER, C/O HELMER,)
C/O HENRY, C/O MINER,)
C/O GREENLY, C/O PRESSWOOD,)
C/O ROBINSON, and)
C/O WITTHOFT,)
)
Defendants.)

ORDER

PROUD, Magistrate Judge:

Before the Court is plaintiff's Motion to Re-Open Discovery. (Doc. 144).

This motion is filed by plaintiff's appointed counsel, and it seeks to conditionally re-open discovery should the parties be unable to resolve defendants' objections to plaintiff's discovery requests.

The Court will not order that discovery be re-opened in general at this late date. Should there be a specific discovery issue that the parties are unable to resolve, plaintiff may file an appropriate motion directed to that specific issue.

Accordingly, plaintiff's Motion to Re-Open Discovery (Doc. 144) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: March 1, 2010.

s/ Clifford J. Proud CLIFFORD J. PROUD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE