Brown v. Walker et al Doc. 51

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

JAMES BROWN,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	Case No. 07-CV-0758-MJR
ROGER E. WALKER, JR.,	)	
JASON C. GARNETT, GREGORY	)	
LAMBERT, ROY BRADFORD,	)	
JULIE WILKERSON, KEN BLACK,	)	
LEE RYKER, JOYCE HOSKINSON,	)	
ROBERT F. KUNTZ,	)	
NEIL C. BANTICAN, and	)	
MELODY L. FORD,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

## **MEMORANDUM and ORDER**

## **REAGAN, District Judge:**

On February 9, 2009, Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment arguing that Brown failed to exhaust his administrative remedies before bringing suit, as required by the Prison Litigation Reform Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a) (Doc. 28). Recognizing that resolution of the motion required more information as to the availability of the prison's remedy process and the plaintiff's use of the grievance process, Magistrate Judge Frazier set a hearing on the motion (Doc. 38). The hearing took place on June 11, 2009 (Doc. 41).

Soon thereafter, Brown filed a "Memorandum Notice and Request for Rehearing" (Doc. 43). The undersigned District Judge denied Brown's motion for a rehearing, explaining that the motion was premature given that no Report and Recommendation had been submitted by Magistrate Judge Frazier (Doc. 44). Instead, the Court informed Brown that he should wait for the Report and then submit any objections he may have. Ultimately, Magistrate Judge Frazier's Report

and Recommendation was filed on July 7, 2009 (Doc. 46) and Brown submitted a timely objection

(Doc. 48).

Brown now seeks to amend his motion for rehearing by substituting various pages

to correct spelling and grammatical errors (Doc. 49). This motion must be **DENIED**, as the Court

has already disposed of the very motion Brown seeks to amend. The Court notes that even if it

would have been able to consider the proposed amendments, the Court's ruling on the motion for

rehearing would not have been affected.

Additionally, Brown asks to withdraw his previous objection to the Report and

substitute a new set of objections so as to correct various spelling and grammatical errors (Doc. 50).

The Court **GRANTS** Brown's motion to substitute. The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to electronically file

the proposed corrected version (attached to Doc. 50) as Plaintiff's Amended Objections to the

Report and Recommendation at Doc. 46. The original objections (Doc. 48) are **WITHDRAWN**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 7th day of August 2009.

s/ Michael J. Reagan

MICHAEL J. REAGAN

**United States District Judge** 

-2-