

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

JOSEPH W. BUECHEL,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civil No. 08-cv-132-JPG-CJP
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff Joseph W. Buechel’s motion and notice to appointed counsel. Buuchel filed this document *pro se*, although he is represented by counsel. A litigant does not have a right to file his own documents when he is represented by counsel. *See Hayes v. Hawes*, 921 F.2d 100, 102 (7th Cir. 1990) (*per curiam*). “Representation by counsel and self-representation are mutually exclusive.” *Cain v. Peters*, 972 F.2d 748, 750 (7th Cir. 1992). So-called “hybrid representation” confuses and extends matters at trial and in other proceedings and, therefore, it is forbidden. *See United States v. Oreya*, 263 F.3d 669, 672-73 (7th Cir. 2001). The Court may strike as improper any such *pro se* filings. *See, e.g., United States v. Gwiazdzinski*, 141 F.3d 784, 787 (7th Cir. 1998). The Court hereby **ORDERS** that Buechel’s motion/notice (Doc. 43) be **STRICKEN**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.
DATED: October 8, 2009

s/ J. Phil Gilbert
J. PHIL GILBERT
U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE