

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

**CUSTOM BLENDING AND
PACKAGING OF ST. LOUIS, LLC,**

Plaintiff,

v.

STEVEN MOSER, JR., et al.,

Defendants.

No. 08-0592-DRH

ORDER

HERNDON, Chief Judge:

This matter comes before the Court for case management. On August 3, 2010, Defendants DAC Industries, Inc., DAC Aerosol & Liquid Fill, Inc., and Litz filed a reply to Plaintiff's response to their motion for summary judgment (Doc. 105).

Local Rule 7.1 (c) states in part:

Reply briefs are not favored and should be filed only in exceptional circumstances. The party filing the reply brief shall state the exceptional circumstances.

Here, Defendants' reply brief does not state the exceptional circumstances as to why a reply brief is needed. Further, the reply violates Local Rule 7.1(c) in that it is seven

pages.¹ Thus, the Court **STRIKES** the reply.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 4th day of August, 2010.

/s/ David R. Herndon

**Chief Judge
United States District Court**

¹**Local Rule 7.1(c)** also states: “Reply briefs shall not exceed 5 pages. Requests for additional pages are not allowed.”