

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

GEORGE L. BARNES,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL PEEBLES, et al.,

Defendants.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Civil No. **08-797-JPG-CJP**

ORDER

PROUD, Magistrate Judge:

Defendants have filed Motions for Sanctions in which they seeks sanctions on account of plaintiff's failure to respond to their discovery requests as previously ordered. (**Docs. 69 & 70**). Plaintiff filed a response in which he states that he has, in fact, responded to defendants' requests. **See, Doc. 71.** As defendants have not filed replies to plaintiff's response, the Court assumes that defendants are satisfied with plaintiff's responses to their discovery requests.

Defendants' Motions for Sanctions (**Docs. 69 & 70**) are **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 17, 2010.

s/ Clifford J. Proud
CLIFFORD J. PROUD
U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE