# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT <br> FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS 

MARK S. SMITH, JR.,

Plaintiff,
v.

WACKER NUESON CORPORATION, and WACKER NUESON SE,

Defendants.
No. 09-1064-DRH

## WACKER NUESON CORPORATION,

 and WACKER NUESON SE,Third-Party Plaintiffs,

## v.

FONTANA CONTRACTING COMPANY, and WESCON PRODUCTS COMPANY, a subsidiary of Latshaw Enterprises, Inc.,

Third-Party Defendants.

## ORDER

## HERNDON, Chief Judge:

Now before the Court are Third-Party Defendant Fontana Contracting Company's September 29, 2010 motions to set aside default (Doc. 53) and for leave to file an answer (Doc. 54). As of today's date, Third-Party Plaintiffs Wacker Neuson Corporation and Wacker Neuson SE have not responded to the motions. Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1 (g), the Court considers the failure to respond as admissions of the
merits of the motions. ${ }^{1}$ Thus, the Court GRANTS the motions. The Court VACATES the Entry of Default entered on September 17, 2010 (Doc. 49). Further, the Court

ORDERS Fontana Contracting Company to file its answer to the Third-Party Complaint instanter.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 18th day of October, 2010.

|  | David R. Herndon |
| :--- | :--- |
| Davidratandan | 2010.10.18 10:34:10 |
|  | $-05^{\prime} 00^{\prime}$ |
| Chief Judge |  |
| United States District Court |  |

1 "Failure to file a timely response to a motion may, in the Court's discretion, be considered an admission of the merits of the motion." Local Rule 7.1 (g).
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