Davis v. Bayer Corp et al

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

-----

 $\mathbf{X}$ 

IN RE YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE)
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

3:09-md-02100-DRH-PMF

MDL No. 2100

-----

## This Document Relates to:

Judge David R. Herndon

Davis v. Bayer Corp et al. No. 3:09-cv-20112--DRH-PMF

Shaw v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmauceuticals, Inc. et al. No. 3:10-cv-10915-DRH-PMF

Jones v. Bayer Corporation et al. No. 3:10-cv-11424-DRH-PMF

Bryant v. Bayer Corporation et al. No. 3:10-cv-13526-DRH-PMF

Foreman et al. v. Bayer Corporation et al. No. 3:10-cv-20190-DRH-PMF<sup>1</sup>

Harvey et al v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al. No. 3:11-cv-10024-DRH-PMF<sup>2</sup>

Kieffer v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al. No. 3:11-cv-11577 -DRH-PMF

Rodriguez v. Bayer Corporation et al. No. 3:12-cv-11676-DRH-PMF

Garcia et al v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al. No. 3:14-cv-10163-DRH-PMF<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This Order applies to plaintiff Alide Nunez only.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This Order applies to plaintiff Angela Harvey only. As all other plaintiffs have previously been dismissed, this order closes the case and the matter will be terminated from the Court's docket.

Najduch et al v. Bayer Healthcare

Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al. No. 3:14-cv-10173-

DRH-PMF<sup>4</sup>

Nachreiner v. Bayer Corp et al. No. 3:15-yz-

00360-DRH-PMF

Savage v. Bayer Corp et al. No. 3:15-yz-

00376-DRH-PMF

ORDER GRANTING DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

HERNDON, District Judge:

This matter is before the Court on Defendant's (Bayer HealthCare

Pharmaceuticals Inc.) motions for an order dismissing the above captioned

plaintiffs' claims without prejudice for failure to file an appearance as required by

this Court's Order and Local Rule 83.1(g)(2).

In each of the above captioned cases, the Court granted a motion to

withdraw filed by counsel. The orders granting leave to withdraw expressly

provided that if the subject plaintiff (or her new counsel) failed to file a timely

supplementary entry of appearance, the action would be subject to dismissal

without prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for failure to

prosecute or to comply with the orders of this Court. To date, and in violation of

this Court's orders and Local Rule 83.1(g), the above captioned plaintiffs have not

filed a supplementary appearance. In addition, the above captioned plaintiffs have

not responded to the pending motions to dismiss.

<sup>3</sup> This Order applies to plaintiff *Yvonne Garcia* only.

<sup>4</sup> This Order applies to plaintiff *Gloria Valez* only.

Page **2** of **3** 

The plaintiffs must comply with the Local Rules and this Court's orders. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). Accordingly, for the reasons stated herein, the claims of the above captioned plaintiffs are hereby **DISMISSED** without prejudice. To the extent that all plaintiffs in the above captioned actions have been dismissed, the Court directs the Clerk to terminate the action from the Court's docket.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 21st day of December, 2015.

Digitally signed by Judge David R. Herndon

Date: 2015.12.21

14:24:50 -06'00'

**United States District Judge** 

Davidrana