

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

RONALD RAY POLLARD,

Petitioner,

v.

WARDEN JAMES CROSS,

Respondent.

No. 10-0143-DRH

ORDER

HERNDON, Chief Judge:

On February 23, 2010, Ronald Ray Pollard, an inmate housed at the Federal Correctional Institution in Greenville, Illinois, filed a habeas corpus petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (Doc. 1). In his petition, Pollard claims that he should receive the full 12-month placement in a Residential Reentry Center (“RRC”) as allowed under the Second Chance Act, and that he had been “... IMPERMISSIBLY DENIED HIS RIGHT TO BE CONSIDERED FOR A FULL YEAR OF HALFWAY HOUSE PLACEMENT ... WITHOUT DUE CONSIDERATION OF THE FIVE FACTORS OUTLINED IN 18 U.S.C. § 3621(B).” (Doc. 13).

On October 1, 2010, pursuant to **28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B)**, Magistrate Judge Philip M. Frazier submitted a Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) (Doc. 14). The Report recommends that the Court deny Pollard’s petition for writ of habeas corpus and dismiss with prejudice this matter. The Report was sent to the parties with a notice informing them of their right to appeal by way of filing


“objections” within ten days of service of the Report. To date, none of the parties has filed objections. The period in which to file objections has expired. Therefore, pursuant to **28 U.S.C. § 636(b)**, this Court need not conduct *de novo* review.

***Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149-52 (1985).**

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the Report in its entirety (Doc. 14). The Court **DENIES** Pollard’s petition for habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (Doc. 1). Further, the Court **DISMISSES with prejudice** Pollard’s cause of action. The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk of the Court to enter judgment reflecting the same.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 22nd day of October, 2010.

 David R. Herndon
2010.10.22
10:17:48 -05'00'

Chief Judge
United States District Court