UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

----- X

IN RE YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE) : 3:09-md-02100-DRH-PMF

MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND
RELEVANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY
: MDL No. 2100

LITIGATION :

Judge David R. Herndon

This Document Relates to: ORDER DISMISSING WITHOUT

PREJUDICE

Loren Adams v. Bayer HealthCare Pharms. Inc., et al. No. 3:10-cv-11739-DRH-PMF

Lindsay Galligan v. Bayer Corp., et al. No. 3:10-cv-11236-DRH-PMF

ORDER

HERNDON, Chief Judge:

This matter is before the Court on defendant Bayer HealthCare

Pharmaceuticals Inc.'s ("Bayer") motion to dismiss the above captioned action

without prejudice. To date, plaintiff has not responded to Bayer's motion.

On March 14, 2011, the Court granted a motion to withdraw filed by Plaintiff's counsel in each of the above-captioned matters. (*Adams*Doc. 8; *Galligan*Doc. 11). The Orders provided that, "[i]f Plaintiff or her new counsel fails to file a supplementary appearance within 21 days of the entry of this Order, Plaintiff's action will be subject to dismissal without prejudice for failure to comply with this Order and with her Plaintiff Fact Sheet requirements." (*Adams*

Doc. 8 at 2; GalliganDoc. 11 at 2). To date, and in violation of the Order and

Local Rule 83.1(g), plaintiffs have not filed supplementary appearances.

Plaintiffs must comply with the Local Rules and this Court's orders.

Fed.R. Civ. P. 41(b). In addition, plaintiff's failure to file an appearance has

prejudiced Bayer. Bayer has answered each of the complaints (AdamsDoc. 5;

GalliganDoc. 5), meaning that these Plaintiffs should have Plaintiff Fact Sheet

obligations. To date, and in violation of Case Management Order 12, plaintiffs have

not served a PFS.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated herein, plaintiffs' actions are

hereby dismissed without prejudice.

SO ORDERED.

Digitally signed by David R. Herndon Date: 2011.04.18

12:04:35 -05'00'

Chief Judge

DavidRobando

United States District Court

Date: April 18, 2011