UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE)) 3:09-md-02100-DRH-PMF MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND) MDL No. 2100

PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION) ORDER

This Document Relates to:

Kelly Brockman v. McKesson Corp., et al. No. 3:10-cv-20311-DRH-PMF

Karalyn Cardinale v. Bayer HealthCare Pharms., Inc., et al. No. 3:10-cv-11617-DRH-PMF

Emily Mixon v. Bayer HealthCare Pharms., Inc., et al. No. 3:10-cv-10715-DRH-PMF

Glenishe Rowe v. Bayer HealthCare Pharms., Inc., et al. No. 3:10-cv-10718-DRH-PMF

<u>ORDER</u>

HERNDON, Chief Judge:

This matter is before the Court on Defendant Bayer HealthCare

Pharmaceuticals Inc.'s ("Bayer") motion to dismiss the above captioned actions

without prejudice. To date, Plaintiffs have not responded to Bayer's motion.

In each of the above captioned cases the Court granted a motion to withdraw filed by each Plaintiff's counsel (Brockman DOC. 16 (11/18/10); Cardinale DOC. 11 (11/29/10); Mixon DOC. 7 (11/29/10); Rowe DOC. 9 (11/29/10)). Plaintiffs were required, pursuant to **local rule 83.1(g)(2)**, to file a supplementary appearance within 21 days of the entry of the Order.

After Plaintiffs did not file the supplementary appearance required by

Local Rule 83.1(g)(2), Bayer moved to show cause why Plaintiffs' claims should

not be dismissed on December 21, 2010. (Brockman DOC. 17; Cardinale DOC.

12; Mixon DOC. 8; Rowe DOC. 10). On January 11, 2011, the Court gave

Plaintiffs "one final opportunity to demonstrate some interest in the further

prosecution of their claims." The Order provided that, if Plaintiffs did not file an

entry of appearance by January 18 "Plaintiffs' cases will be dismissed without

prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(b)."

To date, and in violation of the Order and Local Rule 83.1(g)(2),

Plaintiffs have not filed a supplementary appearance. This is particularly

problematic in light of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet concerns raised by Bayer and

discussed by this Court in previous orders.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure **41(b)**, a complaint may

Date: February 23, 2011

be involuntarily dismissed where a Plaintiff fails to prosecute or to comply with

the rules or a court order. **See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b)**. In the above captioned

cases, Plaintiffs have failed to comply with this Court's Order and with **Local Rule**

83.1(g). Accordingly, for the reasons stated herein, the above captioned actions

are hereby dismissed without prejudice.

SO ORDERED.

David Revendon

David R. Herndon 2011.02.23 10:16:45

Chief Judge

United States District Court