

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY
 ATTEST
 By Delora Davis on Aug 12, 2010

FOR THE UNITED STATES
 JUDICIAL PANEL ON
 MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Jul 28, 2010

FILED
CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE)
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2100

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

FILED

AUG 12 2010

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-30)

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EAST ST. LOUIS OFFICE

On October 1, 2009, the Panel transferred 32 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 655 F.Supp.2d 1343 (J.P.M.L. 2009). Since that time, 505 additional actions have been transferred to the Southern District of Illinois. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable David R. Herndon.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of Illinois and assigned to Judge Herndon.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Southern District of Illinois for the reasons stated in the order of October 1, 2009, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable David R. Herndon.


This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 14 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 14-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.


Aug 12, 2010

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:


 Jeffrey N. Luthi
 Clerk of the Panel

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

By 
Deputy Clerk

Date 08/16/10

**IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE)
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2100

SCHEDULE CTO-30 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST. DIV. C.A. #

CASE CAPTION

CALIFORNIA CENTRAL

CAC 2 10-4527 Monique Reyes, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20381-DRH-PMF
CAC 2 10-4723 Ryan Bleecher, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20382-DRH-PMF
~~CAC 2 10-4946 Ashten Luayne Wolfe, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al.
Opposed 8/12/10~~

CALIFORNIA NORTHERN

CAN 3 10-2860 Monica Layne v. McKesson Corp., et al. 10-20383-DRH-PMF
CAN 3 10-2861 Natasha Thompson v. McKesson Corp., et al. 10-20384-DRH-PMF
CAN 3 10-2901 Melissa Hicks-Niell v. McKesson Corp., et al. 10-20385-DRH-PMF
CAN 4 10-2575 Nyki Brown v. McKesson Corp., et al. 10-20386-DRH-PMF

ILLINOIS NORTHERN

ILN 1 10-4329 Katherine Sowinski v. Bayer Healthcare, LLC, et al. 10-20387-DRH-PMF

NEBRASKA

NE 8 10-221 Kristine M. Collette v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20388-DRH-PMF

TENNESSEE EASTERN

TNE 1 10-187 Jennifer Dzik, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20389-DRH-PMF

WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN

WVS 3 10-880 Megan M. Stewart v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20390-DRH-PMF