7

FILED

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

DEC 0 2 2010

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EAST ST. LOUIS OFFICE

MDL No. 2100

IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE) MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-40)

On October 1, 2009, the Panel transferred 32 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. *See* 655 F.Supp.2d 1343 (J.P.M.L. 2009). Since that time, 640 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Southern District of Illinois. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable David R Herndon.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of Illinois and assigned to Judge Herndon.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict</u> <u>Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Southern District of Illinois for the reasons stated in the order of October 1, 2009, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable David R Herndon.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Dec 02, 2010

CLERK'S OFFICE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

SOUTHER CER	N DISTR	RUE	OF ILLINOIS
Ву	1.00	L. Club	
Date	-12 12	[2]	10

IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE) MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

C.A.NO. CASE CAPTION

MDL No. 2100

SCHEDULE CTO-40 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

CALIFOR	NIA CE	NTRAL	
CAC CAC	2 2	10-08568 10-08776	Katherine L. Murphy et al v. Bayer Corporation et al 10.20506 ·DEIIPU Emily Groomes v. Bayer Corporation et al 10.20507 · DI2H · PMF
CALIFOR	NIA NC	RTHERN	
CAN	3	10-05044	Dubois et al v. Bayer Corporation et al 10.2050B. DRH.PUF
MARYLA	ND		
MD	8	10-03190	Miller v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al 10.20509. DRH 9
MISSISSI	PPI NOF	RTHERN	
MSN	3	10-00105	Bagley v. Bayer Corporation et al 10.20510.D2H.PuF
MISSISSI	PPI SOL	THERN	
MSS MSS	3 3	10-00637 10-00648	Ivy v. Bayer Corporation et al 10.20511 · DRH · PMF Shi v. Bayer Corporation et al 10.20512 · DRH · PMF
NEW JERS	SEY		
U 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	10-05672 $10-05673$ $10-05687$ $10-05744$ $10-05794$ $10-05795$ $10-05796$ $10-05797$ $10-05815$	RENTFROW v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 10.205 B. DEH.PAF LEMOS v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 10.20514. DEH. PAF TWITO-SPRAY v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 10.20515. DEH.PA MARTINEZ et al v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 10.20516. DEH.PAF COLEMAN v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 10.20517. DEH.PAF ROACH v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 10.20518. DEH.PAF SACCHETTA v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 10.20519. DEH.PAF SPEAR v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 10.20520. DEH.PAF LAU et al v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 10.20521. DEH.PAF

NEW YORK SOUTHERN

NYS	1	10-05908	Nesom v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al 10-20522-DeH-PA
NYS	1	10-06521	Patterson v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et 10.20523 D2H.P4 al
NYS	1	10-06522	Johnson v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et 10-20524 DeHPU al
NYS	1	1006631	Kuscsik et al v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, 10.20525 · DAI · PU Inc. et al

encounter (MA difference of descent and any of the second

TENNESSEE MIDDLE

TNM 2	10-00108	Thomas v. Bayer AG et al 10.20526.DEH.PUF
-------	----------	---