-SCW Bowen v. Groome et al Doc. 54

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

JAMES BOWEN, individually and of	n)
behalf of all others similarly situated,)
•)
Plaintiff,)
,) Case No. 11-cv-0139-GPM-SCW
vs.)
)
WILLIAM E. GROOME and VICKI I)
GROOME,)
)
Defendants.)
0	RDER
O O	ILLII

WILLIAMS, Magistrate Judge:

Four non-parties have been served with subpoenas to produce ten years' worth of "[a]ny and all documents" related to Defendants William and Vicki Groome and three other individuals. At a telephonic conference on January 6, 2012, the parties, who had in good faith conferred to resolve the dispute without court action, were directed to brief the Court on the discoverability of the information covered by the subpoenas. Now before the Court is Defendants' Motion to Quash Plaintiffs' Subpoenas, which the Court construes as a Motion for a Protective Order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c).

Under Rule 26(c), a protective order may be entered for good cause in order to limit the scope of disclosure or discovery to certain matters. FED. R. CIV. P. 26(c)(1)(D). The scope of discovery in federal court is limited to non-privileged, relevant material. See FED. R. CIV. P. 26(b). While the information sought by Plaintiffs is relevant to the case insofar as it pertains to possible punitive damages, the subpoena is overbroad. So to make the scope of the disputed subpoenas congruent with the scope of discoverable information, the Court GRANTS IN PART and DENIES IN PART Defendants' motion, and rules as follows.

The subpoenaed third parties (Citation Oil & Gas Corp.; Plains Marketing, L.P.; Bi-Petro,

Inc.; and Countrymark Refining and Logistics, LLC/Countrymark Cooperative, LLP/Countrymark

Cooperative Holding Corp.) **SHALL** comply with the subpoenas to the extent they are commanded

to produce (1) only documents related to William E. Groome and Vicki L. Groome, and (2) only

documents dated within the last two years, and (3) only documents pertaining to ownership interest

of and payments made to Defendants William and Vicki Groome, including all royalty check detail

statements, division orders or any other documents evidencing payment to Defendants or

Defendants' ownership in any royalty interest or working interest. Plaintiff SHALL immediately

provide a copy of this order to the subpoenaed third parties. Further, documents responsive to this

order SHALL NOT be provided to anyone except counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants, until the

parties agree (or the Court orders) otherwise.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 17, 2012

/s/ Stephen C. Williams

STEPHEN C. WILLIAMSUnited States Magistrate Judge