IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

WILLIAM O. SPIVEY,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Case No. 11-cv-329-JPG

LT. CHAPMAN, WARDEN FLAG, and SAGER,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("R & R") (Doc. 87) of Magistrate Judge Philip M. Frazier recommending that the Court deny defendants' motion for summary judgment (Doc. 41) and plaintiff's motions for summary judgment (Docs. 52 & 76).

The Court may accept, reject or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations of the magistrate judge in a report and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). The Court must review *de novo* the portions of the report to which objections are made. The Court has discretion to conduct a new hearing and may consider the record before the magistrate judge anew or receive any further evidence deemed necessary. *Id.* "If no objection or only partial objection is made, the district court judge reviews those unobjected portions for clear error." *Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999).

The Court has received no objection to the R & R. The Court has reviewed the entire file and finds that the R & R is not clearly erroneous. As such, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the R & R (Doc. 87), which **DENIES** defendants' motion for summary judgment (Doc. 41) and plaintiff's motions for summary judgment (Docs. 52 & 76).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 17, 2012

<u>s/ J. Phil Gilbert</u> J. PHIL GILBERT DISTRICT JUDGE