Carroll v. Kerrkee et al Doc. 36

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

MARK A. CARROLL, II,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Case No. 11-cv-633-JPG-PMF

MIKE KERRKEE, DENNIS MIDDENDORFF, CLINTON COUNTY JAIL, STATE'S ATTORNEY OFFICE and MARK HANSON.

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("R & R") (Doc. 35) of Magistrate Judge Philip M. Frazier recommending that the Court dismiss this case for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

The Court may accept, reject or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations of the magistrate judge in a report and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). The Court must review *de novo* the portions of the report to which objections are made. The Court has discretion to conduct a new hearing and may consider the record before the magistrate judge anew or receive any further evidence deemed necessary. *Id.* "If no objection or only partial objection is made, the district court judge reviews those unobjected portions for clear error." *Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999).

The Court has received no objection to the R & R. The Court has reviewed the entire file and finds that the R & R is not clearly erroneous. Thus, the Court **ADOPTS** the R & R (Doc. 35), **DISMISSES** this case, and **DIRECTS** the Clerk of Court to enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 28, 2014

s/ J. Phil Gilbert

J. PHIL GILBERT

DISTRICT JUDGE