

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

MIGUEL PEREZ,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 3:11-cv-819-NJR-DGW
)	
JAMES FENOGLIO, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

WILKERSON, Magistrate Judge:

Now pending before the Court is Plaintiff’s Motion for Recruitment of Counsel filed September 2, 2015 (Doc. 45). For the reasons set forth below, the Motion to Appoint Counsel is **GRANTED**.

Plaintiff has no constitutional nor statutory right to a Court-appointed attorney in this matter. *See Pruitt v. Mote*, 503 F.3d 647, 649 (7th Cir. 2007). However, 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1) provides that the Court “may request an attorney to represent any person unable to afford counsel.” Prior to making such a request, the Court must first determine whether Plaintiff has made reasonable efforts to secure counsel without Court intervention (or whether has he been effectively prevented from doing so). *Jackson v. County of McLean*, 953 F.2d 1070, 1073 (7th Cir. 1992). If he has, then the Court next considers whether, “given the difficulty of the case, [does] the plaintiff appear to be competent to try it himself” *Farmer v. Haas*, 990 F.2d 319, 321-322 (7th Cir. 1993); *Pruitt*, 503 F.3d at 655 (“the question is whether the difficulty of the case – factually and legally – exceeds the particular plaintiff’s capacity as a layperson to coherently present it to the judge or jury himself.”). In order to make such a determination, the Court may

consider, among other things, the complexity of the issues presented and the Plaintiff's education, skill, and experience as revealed by the record. *Pruitt*, 503 F.3d at 655-656. Ultimately, the Court must "take account of all [relevant] evidence in the record" and determine whether Plaintiff has the capacity to litigate this matter without the assistance of counsel. *Navejar v. Iyiola*, 718 F.3d 692, 696 (7th Cir. 2013).

The circumstances presented in this case warrant recruitment of counsel. *See Santiago v. Walls*, 599 F.3d 749, 765 (7th Cir. 2010) ("The situation here is qualitatively different from typical prison litigation."). First, Plaintiff has shown that he tried to obtain counsel on his own. Moreover, Plaintiff will likely be unable to competently litigate this matter on his own behalf as it will likely require significant discovery, Plaintiff is no longer incarcerated at the institution where the alleged violations occurred, and English is not Plaintiff's primary language.

Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** Plaintiff's Motion (Doc. 45) and **APPOINTS** Attorney Jerina Phillips of the law firm Lewis Rice LLC, in St. Louis, Missouri, to represent Plaintiff for all further proceedings.¹ Attorney Phillips shall enter her appearance on or before **October 26, 2015**.

Plaintiff is cautioned to consult with his counsel in this matter and to understand that it is Attorney Jerina Phillips who is the legal professional in this relationship. Without commenting on the validity of the matter in litigation, counsel is reminded and plaintiff is advised that counsel, even though appointed by the Court, has an obligation under the rules to refrain from filing frivolous pleadings. As a consequence, counsel will likely, from time to time, advise Plaintiff against taking a certain course of action. While Plaintiff may not totally agree with counsel's advice, he should realize that, in the long run, such advice will be in his best interest because it is in

¹ The Local Rules of the Southern District of Illinois direct that every member of the bar of this Court "shall be available for appointment by the Court to represent or assist in the representation of those who cannot afford to hire an attorney." SDIL-LR 83.1(i).

compliance with the law. Also, counsel may advise Plaintiff to pursue additional claims or to abandon certain existing claims.

Counsel, of course, maintains an ethical obligation to fully and vigorously represent her client, but only to the extent that it does not impede her ethical obligation to follow the rules of the Court and the law. If Plaintiff wants to be represented by counsel, he will have to cooperate fully with counsel. **The Court will not accept any filings from Plaintiff individually while he is represented by counsel**, except a pleading that asks that he be allowed to have counsel withdraw from representation. If counsel is allowed to withdraw at the request of Plaintiff, it is unlikely the Court will appoint other counsel to represent him.

Because Plaintiff is proceeding *in forma pauperis*, if there is a monetary recovery in this case (either by verdict or settlement), **any unpaid out-of-pocket costs must be paid from the proceeds**. See SDIL-LR 3.1(c)(1). If there is no recovery in the case (or the costs exceed any recovery), the Court has the discretion reimburse expenses. The funds available for this purpose are limited, and counsel should use the utmost care when incurring out-of-pocket costs. In no event will funds be reimbursed if the expenditure is found to be without a proper basis. The Court has no authority to pay attorney's fees in this case. **Counsel is encouraged to enter into a fee contract with Plaintiff to address both the payment of attorney's fees and costs should Plaintiff prevail.**

Finally, counsel is informed that Plaintiff is currently incarcerated by the Illinois Department of Corrections at Graham Correctional Center in Hillsboro, Illinois. Information about the facility is available at www.idoc.state.il.us. Counsel may use the Illinois Department of Corrections' videoconferencing system to confer with Plaintiff. The Court asks the Assistant United States Attorney assigned to this case to facilitate those arrangements.

This matter is **SET** for a telephonic status conference on **November 19, 2015 at 2:00 p.m.** Counsel for Defendant Dr. Phil Martin to initiate the conference call. The Court's conference number is 618-482-9004. The parties should be prepared to discuss the schedule in this matter.

The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to send a copy of this Order and the standard letter concerning appointment of counsel to Attorney Phillips immediately.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 6, 2015

The image shows a handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Donald G. Wilkerson". The signature is written over a circular seal. The seal contains the text "UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT" at the top and "SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS" at the bottom. In the center of the seal is an eagle with its wings spread, perched on a shield with vertical stripes, and holding an olive branch and arrows.

DONALD G. WILKERSON
United States Magistrate Judge