## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ
(DROSPIRENONE) MARKETING, SALES
PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION

3:09-md-02100-DRH-PMF

**MDL No. 2100** 

## Th

his Document Relates to:	
Maria-Anne N. Newton v. Bayer Corporation, et al.	No. 3:13-cv-20023-DRH-PMF
Sherry Norris v. Bayer Schering Pharma AG, et al.	No. 3:10-cv-11305-DRH-PMF
Callista Nwoke v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	No. 3:10-cv-12314-DRH-PMF
Rebecca L. Oliveri v. Bayer Corporation, et al.	No. 3:12-cv-20102-DRH-PMF
Destarte' L. Osborne v. Bayer Corporation, et al.	No. 3:10-cv-11878-DRH-PMF
Shannon Peck-Cook v. Bayer Corporation, et al.	No. 3:12-cv-10183-DRH-PMF
Michele Perino v. Bayer Corporation, et al.	No. 3:14-cv-10048-DRH-PMF
Jennifer Peterson v. Bayer Pharma AG, et al.	No. 3:14-cv-10052-DRH-PMF
Khia Peterson v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	No. 3:13-cv-10688-DRH-PMF
Brooke L. Phillips v. Bayer Pharma AG, et al.	No. 3:11-cv-13194-DRH-PMF
Patricia Ann Pilar v. Bayer Corporation, et al.	No. 3:10-cv-13523-DRH-PMF
Teresa Pleasant v. Bayer Corporation, et al.	No. 3:11-cv-20138-DRH-PMF
Ronald Pollack Individually and as Parent and Natural Guardian of R.P., an Infant v. Bayer Corporation, et al.	No. 3:13-cv-20010-DRH-PMF
Tanilla Ponder v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	No. 3:11-cv-12569-DRH-PMF
Barbara Ramirez v. Bayer Corporation, et al.	No. 3:10-cv-12437-DRH-PMF

Anita M. Ramsey v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	No. 3:11-cv-11443-DRH-PMF
Jacqueline Randan v. Bayer Corporation, et al.	No. 3:12-cv-20086-DRH-PMF
Gina Ray v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	No. 3:14-cv-10363-DRH-PMF
Courtney Reed v. Bayer Corporation, et al.	No. 3:11-cv-13605-DRH-PMF
Jeannett Regalado v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	No. 3:11-cv-12552-DRH-PMF
Tracy Renaud v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	No. 3:10-cv-10474-DRH-PMF
Ashley Nicole Rentz v. Bayer Corporation, et al.	No. 3:13-cv-10742-DRH-PMF
Kristin Robinson v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	No. 3:12-cv-10086-DRH-PMF
Veronica Rogers v. Bayer Corporation, et al.	No. 3:13-cv-10138-DRH-PMF
Ashley Rohrer v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	No. 3:12-cv-10579-DRH-PMF
Becky Roney v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	No. 3:11-cv-12670-DRH-PMF
Tiffiney Rooks v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	No. 3:10-cv-11218-DRH-PMF
Alecia Russell v. Bayer Corporation, et al.	No. 3:11-cv-20119-DRH-PMF
Christy Sands v. Bayer Corporation, et al.	No. 3:09-cv-10041-DRH-PMF
Rebecka Sawyer v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	No. 3:11-cv-12571-DRH-PMF
Allison Schworm v. Bayer Corporation, et al.	No. 3:13-cv-10792-DRH-PMF
Catherine Agnes Scott v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	No. 3:12-cv-10756-DRH-PMF
Jennifer Lyn Sedik v. Bayer HealthCare	No. 3:13-cv-10789-DRH-PMF

Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.

Patricia Sexton v. Bayer HealthCare	No. 3:15-yz-00268-DRH-PMF
Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	

Cathy Shafer v. Bayer HealthCare

No. 3:10-cv-12835-DRH-PMF

Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.

Angela Shook v. Bayer Corporation, et al. No. 3:11-cv-12956-DRH-PMF

Kathryn Shurbet v. Bayer HealthCare LLC, et al. No. 3:14-cv-20001-DRH-PMF

Debra Simons v. Bayer Corporation, et al. No. 3:14-cv-10360-DRH-PMF

Marian Sinegar v. Bayer Corporation, et al. No. 3:10-cv-20460-DRH-PMF

Sheila Smiley v. Bayer HealthCare No. 3:13-cv-10602-DRH-PMF

Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.

LeAnn Smith v. Bayer Schering Pharma AG, et al. No. 3:10-cv-13073-DRH-PMF

Raven Stanley v. Bayer Schering Pharma AG, et al. No. 3:10-cv-11308-DRH-PMF

Lauren Steiner v. Bayer Corporation, et al. No. 3:12-cv-20111-DRH-PMF

## ORDER GRANTING WITH PREJUDICE DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO CMO 79

On December 22, 2015, the Bayer Defendants moved to dismiss the claims of plaintiffs in the above captioned matters, with prejudice, pursuant to Section III of Case Management Order 79 ("CMO 79"). Pursuant to CMO 79, each plaintiff had 14 days to file an opposition to the Bayer Defendants' motions to dismiss. Case Management Order 79 further provides that failure to timely file an opposition "will result in an automatic dismissal with prejudice." CMO 79 § III ¶ 5(b). The above captioned plaintiffs failed to file an opposition to the Bayer Defendants' motions to dismiss. Accordingly, these actions are subject to automatic dismissal with prejudice.

The Court therefore **GRANTS** the motions to dismiss the claims of the plaintiffs in the above captioned matters. The claims of the above captioned plaintiffs are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. **FURTHER**, the Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to enter judgment in each of the above captioned actions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 12th day of January, 2016. Day Williams

Digitally signed by Judge David R.

Herndon

Date: 2016.01.12

09:30:52 -06'00'

**United States District Judge**