

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

CHARLES WHITE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

WARDEN RYKER, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 12-cv-75-JPG

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (“R & R”) (Doc. 35) of Magistrate Judge Philip M. Frazier recommending that the Court deny plaintiff’s requests for injunctive relief (Docs. 8, 9 & 11) and to amend the complaint (Doc. 19).

The Court may accept, reject or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations of the magistrate judge in a report and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). The Court must review *de novo* the portions of the report to which objections are made. The Court has discretion to conduct a new hearing and may consider the record before the magistrate judge anew or receive any further evidence deemed necessary. *Id.* “If no objection or only partial objection is made, the district court judge reviews those unobjected portions for clear error.” *Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999).

The Court has received no objection to the R & R. The Court has reviewed the entire file and finds that the R & R is not clearly erroneous. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the R & R in its entirety (Doc. 35). Specifically, the Court **DENIES** plaintiff’s motions for injunctive relief (Docs. 8, 9, & 11) and to amend the complaint (Doc. 19). The Court grants plaintiff leave for 30 days from the date of this order to file an amended complaint pursuant to the instructions contained in the R & R.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**DATED:** November 19, 2012

s/ J. Phil Gilbert  
**J. PHIL GILBERT**  
**DISTRICT JUDGE**