UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

	J	
IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ)	3:09-md-02100-DRH-PMF
(DROSPIRENONE) MARKETING, SALES)	
PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY)	MDL No. 2100
LITIGATION)	

This Document Relates to:

Jennifer Adams v. No. 3:12-cv-10114-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Jessica and Cheyenne Allen v. No. 3:11-cv-13141-DRH-PMF Bayer Pharma AG, et al.

Kim Allen v. No. 3:12-cv-10251-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Brittany Bagnall v. No. 3:11-cv-13557-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Julie Baker v. No. 3:11-cv-13569-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Christina Berninger v. No. 3:12-cv-10064-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Emily Berry v. No. 3:12-cv-10118-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Courtney Bigel v. No. 3:11-cv-13405-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Rebecca Boren v. Bayer Corp., et al. No. 3:11-cv-13604-DRH-PMF

Tara and Michael Burns v. No. 3:12-cv-10065-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Katherine Campbell v. No. 3:12-cv-10161-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Jillian Carpenter v. No. 3:12-cv-10269-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Deborah Cobb v. No. 3:12-cv-10090-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Nicole Daigle v. No. 3:11-cv-13466-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Shelley Darby v. No. 3:11-cv-13302-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Christina DiPierro v. No. 3:12-cv-10062-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Jennifer Dombrowski v. No. 3:11-cv-13556-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Christine Dorries v. Bayer Corp., et al. No. 3:11-cv-12629-DRH-PMF

Tunisia Fitch v. No. 3:12-cv-10038-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Ana Gadsby v. No. 3:11-cv-13562-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Anissa Gallifent v. No. 3:12-cv-10155-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Kimberly and John Hasenberg v. No. 3:11-cv-13305-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Elizabeth Howard v. No. 3:11-cv-13576-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Jolene Jaquez v. No. 3:11-cv-13422-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Cheryl Kan v. No. 3:11-cv-13419-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Rebecca Kinsey v. No. 3:11-cv-13463-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Karen Kirk v. No. 3:12-cv-10115-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Melissa Laws v. No. 3:11-cv-13464-DRH-PMF

Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Amber Melvin v. No. 3:11-cv-13312-DRH-PMF

Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Amanda Montgomery v. No. 3:11-cv-13577-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Deshinae Petetant v. No. 3:11-cv-13432-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Sonya and Martin Porges v. No. 3:11-cv-13303-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Caurie Putnam v. No. 3:12-cv-10117-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Carole Reed v. No. 3:11-cv-13496-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Chantelle Sawyer v. No. 3:12-cv-10036-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Lisa Shortridge v. No. 3:12-cv-10043-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Ashley Trejo v. No. 3:12-cv-10057-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Debra Ward v. No. 3:12-cv-10041-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Chiquita Warren v. No. 3:11-cv-13306-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Whitney Wauters v. No. 3:11-cv-13618-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

Kate Wilson v. No. 3:11-cv-13313-DRH-PMF Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

ORDER DISMISSING WITH PREJUDICE

HERNDON, Chief Judge:

This matter is before the Court on the defendant Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc.'s motion, pursuant to Case Management Order 12 ("CMO 12"), for an order dismissing the plaintiffs' claims, in the above-captioned matters, with prejudice for failure to comply with Plaintiff Fact Sheet ("PFS") obligations.

On September 25, 2012, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc. moved to dismiss the above captioned matters without prejudice for failure to comply with PFS obligations.¹ The Court granted the motion on November 2, 2012.²

In the order dismissing the above captioned actions, the Court warned the plaintiffs that, "pursuant to CMO 12 Section E, unless plaintiffs serve defendants with a COMPLETED PFS or move to vacate the dismissal without prejudice within 60 days after entry of this Order, the Order will be converted to a <u>Dismissal With Prejudice</u> upon defendants' motion."

_

Adams D.E. 6; J. Allen D.E. 6; K. Allen D.E. 6; Bagnall D.E. 6; Baker D.E. 8; Berninger D.E. 6; Berry D.E. 6; Bigel D.E. 9; Boren D.E. 15; Burns D.E. 6; Campbell D.E. 8; Carpenter D.E. 8; Cobb D.E. 6; Daigle D.E. 6; Darby D.E. 6; DiPierro D.E. 6; Dombrowski D.E. 6; Dorries D.E. 11; Fitch D.E. 6; Gadsby D.E. 6; Gallifent D.E. 8; Hasenberg D.E. 6; Howard D.E. 9; Jaquez D.E. 8; Kan D.E. 6; Kinsey D.E. 6; Kirk D.E. 6; Laws D.E. 6; Melvin D.E. 6; Montgomery D.E. 8; Petetant D.E. 6; Porges D.E. 6; Putnam D.E. 6; Reed D.E. 6; Sawyer D.E. 6; Shortridge D.E. 6; Trejo D.E. 6; Ward D.E. 6; Warren D.E. 6; Wauters D.E. 6; Wilson D.E. 6.

² Adams D.E. 7; J. Allen D.E. 7; K. Allen D.E. 7; Bagnall D.E. 7; Baker D.E. 9; Berninger D.E. 7; Berry D.E. 7; Bigel D.E. 10; Boren D.E. 16; Burns D.E. 7; Campbell D.E. 9; Carpenter D.E. 9; Cobb D.E. 7; Daigle D.E. 7; Darby D.E. 7; DiPierro D.E. 7; Dombrowski D.E. 7; Dorries D.E. 12; Fitch D.E. 7; Gadsby D.E. 7; Gallifent D.E. 9; Hasenberg D.E. 7; Howard D.E. 10; Jaquez D.E. 9; Kan D.E. 7; Kinsey D.E. 7; Kirk D.E. 7; Laws D.E. 7; Melvin D.E. 7; Montgomery D.E. 9; Petetant D.E. 7; Porges D.E. 7; Putnam D.E. 7; Reed D.E. 7; Sawyer D.E. 7; Shortridge D.E. 7; Trejo D.E. 7; Ward D.E. 7; Warren D.E. 7; Wauters D.E. 7; Wilson D.E. 7.

On April 1, 2013, more than a year after the entry of the order of dismissal

without prejudice, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc. filed the subject motion

stating the plaintiffs are still not in compliance with their PFS obligations and

asking the Court to convert the without prejudice dismissals to with prejudice

dismissals pursuant to Section E of CMO 12,

To date, none of the above captioned plaintiffs have taken any steps to cure

their PFS deficiencies, to address the without prejudice dismissal, or to reply to

the motion for dismissal with prejudice. The plaintiffs have had ample time to

cure the any PFS deficiencies and avoid a with prejudice dismissal.

Having considered the motion and the relevant provisions of CMO 12 the

Court **ORDERS** as follows:

The plaintiffs in the above captioned actions have failed to comply with

their obligations pursuant to CMO 12 and more than 60 days have passed since

the entry of the order of dismissal without prejudice for failure to comply with

CMO 12. Accordingly, pursuant to Section E of CMO 12, the plaintiffs'

complaints are hereby dismissed WITH prejudice.

Further, the Court DIRECTS the Clerk of the Court to enter judgment

Date: June 24, 2013

reflecting the same.

SO ORDERED:

David R. Herndon

2013.06.24

13:43:34 -05'00'

Chief Judge

DavidRetendon

United States District Court

5