## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE)
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

3:09-md-02100-DRH-PMF

**MDL No. 2100** 

## This Document Relates to:

Jessica Bagley v. Bayer	Corporation, et al.	
-------------------------	---------------------	--

No. 3:11-cv-20153-DRH-PMF

Denise Cunningham, et al. v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al. <sup>1</sup>

No. 3:14-cv-10196-DRH-PMF

Janelle Dowdle, et al. v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.<sup>2</sup>

No. 3:13-cv-10437-DRH-PMF

Tamarra Ferguson v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.

No. 3:14-cv-10135-DRH-PMF

Kennedy Hernandez v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.

No. 3:14-cv-10118-DRH-PMF

Lauren Lannert v. Bayer HealthCare

No. 3:14-cv-10272-DRH-PMF

Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.

No. 3:14-cv-10112-DRH-PMF

Ashley Mathis v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.

No. 3:13-cv-10519-DRH-PMF

Claudia Mendoza, et al. v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.<sup>3</sup>

No. 3:13-cv-10512-DRH-PMF

Candice Mudgett, et al. v. Bayer HealthCare

No. 3:14-cv-10142-DRH-PMF

Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.4

Christi Sage, et al. v. Bayer HealthCare

Tammie Pitts v. Bayer HealthCare

Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.

No. 3:14-cv-10031-DRH-PMF

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This Order applies to Plaintiff Denise Cunningham only.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This Order applies to Plaintiff Holly Miller only.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This Order applies to Plaintiff Betty Lampton only.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This Order applies to Plaintiff Candice Mudgett only.

Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.<sup>5</sup>

Charlene Salinas, et al. v. Bayer HealthCare No. 3:14-cv-10032-DRH-PMF

Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al. 6

Amy Sutter, et al. v. Bayer HealthCare No. 3:14-cv-20009-DRH-PMF

Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.

Suzanne L. Tipton v. Bayer HealthCare No. 3:11-cv-10555-DRH-PMF

Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.

Linda Vondercrone v. Bayer HealthCare No. 3:14-cv-10123-DRH-PMF

Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.

## ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE (Failure To Comply With PFS Obligations)

## **HERNDON**, District Judge:

This matter is before the Court on the defendant's (Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc.) motion, pursuant to Case Management Order 12 ("CMO 12")<sup>7</sup> for an order of dismissal, without prejudice, of the plaintiffs' claims in the above captioned cases for failure to comply with Plaintiff Fact Sheet ("PFS") obligations.

Under Section C of CMO 12, each plaintiff is required to serve defendants with a completed PFS, including a signed declaration, executed record release authorizations, and copies of all documents subject to the requests for production contained in the PFS which are in the possession of plaintiff. Section B of CMO 12 further provides that a completed PFS is due "45 days from the date of service

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This Order applies to Plaintiff Tina Dee Will, Individually and as Representative of the Estate of Kristina Kaye Chesney only.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This Order applies to Plaintiff Charity Bouza only.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The parties negotiated and agreed to CMO 12, which expressly provides that the discovery required of plaintiffs is not objectionable. CMO 12 § A(2).

of the first answer to her Complaint or the docketing of her case in this MDL, or 45 days from the date of this Order, whichever is later."

Accordingly, the plaintiffs in the above-captioned matters were to have served completed PFSs between November of 2012 and December of 2014. Notice of Overdue Discovery was sent on January 27, 2015. As of the filing of Bayer's motion to dismiss, Bayer still had not received completed PFS materials from the plaintiffs in the above-captioned matters.

Under Section E of CMO 12, the **plaintiffs were given 14 days from the date of Bayer's motion** to file a response either certifying that they served upon
defendants and defendants received a <u>completed</u> PFS, and attaching appropriate
documentation of receipt or an opposition to defendant's motion.

To date, none of the plaintiffs in the above captioned member actions has filed a response. Because the plaintiffs have failed to respond to Bayer's allegations, the Court finds that these plaintiffs have failed to comply with their PFS obligations under CMO 12. Accordingly, the above captioned cases are dismissed without prejudice.

The Court reminds plaintiffs that, pursuant to CMO 12 Section E, unless plaintiffs serve the defendants with a COMPLETED PFS or move to vacate the dismissal without prejudice within 60 days after entry of this Order, the

Order will be converted to a <u>Dismissal With Prejudice</u> upon defendants' motion.

DavidParton

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 30th day of April, 2015.

Digitally signed by David R. Herndon

Date: 2015.04.30 14:25:49 -05'00'

**United States District Court**