

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

MICHAEL MCGOWAN,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 3:14-cv-14-NJR-DGW
)	
R. SHEARING, ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT))	
OF CORRECTIONS, WEXFORD HEALTH))	
SOURCES, INC., and ANGELA CRAIN,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

WILKERSON, Magistrate Judge:

Now pending before the Court are the Motion Giving Notice of Denial of Access to the Court filed by Plaintiff, Michael McGowan, on June 22, 2015 (Doc. 150) and the Motion for Clarification filed by Plaintiff on June 22, 2015 (Doc. 151).

The Motion Giving Notice of Denial of Access is **MOOT**. Plaintiff does not seek any relief by way of this motion that is relevant to the case at bar.

The Motion for Clarification is **DENIED**. The Order referred to by Plaintiff, entered on April 20, 2015 (Doc. 126) speaks for itself.

However, in light of the number of discovery disputes in this matter and Plaintiff’s filings regarding such disputes, the Court notes that Plaintiff Motions (Docs. 91 and 92), notwithstanding their titles, were essentially Motions to Compel. This Court directed the IDOC Defendants to respond to various discovery (outlined in these motions and elsewhere) and file the same with the Court. Defendants filed their responses on May 20, 2015 (Doc. 145). To the extent that those responses are inadequate, Plaintiff should have raised such issues at the June 9, 2015 conference. Plaintiff states that he did not have the response in front of him at the conference; however, it is

apparent that he did have a copy of the responses prior to the hearing. Plaintiff further was instructed that, at the June 9, 2015 hearing, “the parties should be prepared to discuss any outstanding discovery disputes” (Doc. 126). To the extent that the discovery responses are now objectionable (and Plaintiff does not specify which responses he believes are inadequate), such arguments have been waived.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 8, 2015



DONALD G. WILKERSON
United States Magistrate Judge