Thelen v. Cross et al Doc. 8

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

PATRICK THELEN, # 16842-039,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	C N 14 E(0.141D
vs.)	Case No. 14-cv-760-MJR
JAMES CROSS, JR.,)	
CHARLES E. SAMUELS, JR.,)	
and ERIC HOLDER,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

REAGAN, District Judge:

Plaintiff is currently incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution at Greenville. He brought this *pro se* civil rights action on July 2, 2014, pursuant to *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). On July 18, 2014, Plaintiff moved this Court for voluntary dismissal of the action (Doc. 6), because he was notified that he had not exhausted all of the claims he sought to bring in this matter. Plaintiff prayed in the alternative for a stay and abeyance of this case, but affirmed that he would prefer a voluntary dismissal. The Court agrees that dismissal is appropriate, as the suit is premature if Plaintiff's claims have not yet been exhausted. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a).

Pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(i) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, voluntary withdrawal of his claim is Plaintiff's right. Accordingly, this action is **DISMISSED**WITHOUT PREJUDICE. The pending motion for service of process at government expense (Doc. 3) is **DENIED** as moot.

Plaintiff is INSTRUCTED that, although he has dismissed his claims, he must

still pay the filing fee for this case. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1), (e)(2); Lucien v. Jockisch, 133

F.3d 464, 467 (7th Cir. 1998) (A prisoner incurs the obligation to pay the filing fee for a lawsuit

when the suit is filed, and the obligation continues regardless of later developments in the suit,

such as dismissal of the suit or denial of leave to proceed IFP.). Plaintiff's motion for leave to

proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) (Doc. 2) shall be addressed by separate order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 21, 2014

s/ MICHAEL J. REAGAN **DISTRICT JUDGE**