

Despite requesting and receiving two extensions of time (*see* Docs. 7-10), Bowlby has failed to file an amended pleading, and it appears that he has abandoned this action. Although dismissal would usually be with prejudice, given the somewhat muddled nature of the case, in its discretion, the Court will dismiss the action without prejudice, and a “strike” will not be assessed for purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

Accordingly, this action is **DISMISSED without prejudice**. Plaintiff will not be allotted a strike under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Judgment shall enter, and this case will be closed. Nonetheless, Plaintiff remains obligated to pay the filing fee. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1); *Lucien v. Jockisch*, 133 F.3d 464, 467 (7th Cir. 1998).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 3, 2014

Handwritten signature of Nancy J. Rosenstengel in black ink, written over a circular official seal.

NANCY J. ROSENSTENGEL
United States District Judge