Johnson v. Gailius et al Doc. 17

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

ANTHONY JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

V.

Case No. 14-cv-1022-JPG-SCW

CHIEF NICK GAILIUS, CITY OF FAIRVIEW HEIGHTS, OFFICER BRANDON STRICKLAND, OFFICER DREW RUTTER, OFFICER DURT SCHUTZ and OFFICER JEFFERY BLAIR,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff Anthony Johnson's motion to transfer the venue of this case from Benton to East St. Louis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) (Doc. 12). He argues that East St. Louis is more convenient in that it is closer to the parties, witnesses and attorneys, to the site of the alleged incident and to the closest major airport. The defendants object (Doc. 16), arguing that the equities do not weigh strongly in favor of a transfer.

Notwithstanding 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), the plaintiff's request would not achieve the result he seeks. Although this case arose in St. Claire County, which is within the East St. Louis Division of the Southern District of Illinois, *see* http://www.ilsd.uscourts.gov/TheCourt.aspx, since June 1, 2006, civil cases in this district have been randomly assigned to judges without regard to the administrative divisions of the Court, *see* S.D. Ill. Admin. Order 89, http://www.ilsd.uscourts.gov/AdminOrders.aspx. Administrative Order 89 effectively destroyed the significance of the separate divisions in this district. Thus, even if the Court were to technically "transfer" the venue of this case to the East St. Louis Division, it would remain assigned to the undersigned judge, who would continue to conduct proceedings in Benton. Thus,

the Court **DENIES** the plaintiff's motion (Doc. 12) **as moot**. Should the parties believe there are

compelling reasons to conduct this trial in East St. Louis instead of Benton, they may raise the

issue at the Final Pretrial Conference.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 12, 2015

s/J. Phil Gilbert

J. PHIL GILBERT DISTRICT JUDGE

2