

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

AHMAD M. AJAJ,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Case No. 14-cv-01245-JPG-RJD
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the court on the Report and Recommendation (“R & R”) (Doc. 114) of Magistrate Judge Reona J. Daly with regard to Defendant United States of America’s Motion [Doc. 111] to Revoke Plaintiff’s *In Forma Pauperis* Status. There were no objections to the R & R.

The Court may accept, reject or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations of the magistrate judge in a report and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). The Court must review *de novo* the portions of the report to which objections are made. The Court has discretion to conduct a new hearing and may consider the record before the magistrate judge anew or receive any further evidence deemed necessary. *Id.* “If no objection or only partial objection is made, the district court judge reviews those unobjected portions for clear error.” *Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999).

The Court has received no objection to the R & R. The Court has reviewed the entire file and finds that the R & R is not clearly erroneous. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report in its entirety (Doc. 114) and **DENIES** Defendant United States of America’s Motion [Doc. 111] to Revoke Plaintiff’s *In Forma Pauperis* Status without prejudice. Defendant United States of America is **GRANTED** leave to refile its motion; however, such motion must include

an analysis of each alleged strike and be filed within **30 days** of this order. Plaintiff's Motion [Doc. 112] for Extension of Time to Reply to Defendant's Motion to Revoke is **DENIED** as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 3/22/2017

s/J. Phil Gilbert

J. PHIL GILBERT
DISTRICT JUDGE