Grant v. Director I.D.O.C. Doc. 5

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

EMMANUEL GRANT,)	
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	Case No. 15-cv-00428-MJR
IDOC DIRECTOR,)	
Defendant.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

REAGAN, Chief District Judge:

On April 17, 2015, Plaintiff Emmanuel Grant, proceeding *pro se*, filed a civil complaint in this Court. (Doc. 1). In an Order dated May 11, 2015, the Court held that Plaintiff's complaint, as drafted, failed to state a claim and should be dismissed. (Doc. 4). However, the Court granted Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint, in order to cure the defects noted in the Order. The Court instructed Plaintiff that if he wished to exercise this option he should file an amended complaint in accordance with the Court's instructions within thirty-five (35) days of the Court's Order dismissing the case (on or before June 15, 2015). Thirty-five (35) days have since passed. The Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.

As a result, the present case is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** and this case is **CLOSED**. Plaintiff is **ADVISED** that this dismissal shall count as one of his allotted "strikes" under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Furthermore, Plaintiff's obligation to pay the filing fee for this action was incurred at the time the action was filed, thus the filing fee of \$350

remains due and payable. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1); Lucien v. Jockisch, 133 F.3d 464, 467

(7th Cir. 1998).

If Plaintiff wishes to appeal this dismissal, he may file a notice of appeal with this Court

within thirty days of the entry of judgment. FED. R. APP. P. 4(a)(1)(A). A motion for leave to

appeal in forma pauperis should set forth the issues Plaintiff plans to present on appeal. See

FED. R. APP. P. 24(a)(1)(C). If Plaintiff does choose to appeal, he will be liable for the \$505.00

appellate filing fee irrespective of the outcome of the appeal. See FED. R. APP. P. 3(e); 28 U.S.C.

§ 1915(e)(2); Ammons v. Gerlinger, 547 F.3d 724, 725-26 (7th Cir. 2008); Sloan v. Lesza, 181

F.3d 857, 858-59 (7th Cir. 1999); Lucien v. Jockisch, 133 F.3d 464, 467 (7th Cir. 1998). A

timely motion filed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e)² may toll the 30-day

appeal deadline. FED. R. APP. P. 4(a)(4).

The Clerk shall **CLOSE THIS CASE** and enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 1, 2015

s/ MICHAEL J. REAGAN

CHIEF JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

¹ Plaintiff's motion for IFP (Doc. 2) will be addressed in a separate order.

² A Rule 59(e) motion to alter or amend a judgment must be filed no later than 28 days after the entry of the

judgment. Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e).

2