

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

JOSE ADAM RODRIGUEZ,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	Case No. 15-cv-00897-JPG-RJD
	)	
LORAL KRANK and	)	
WEXFORD HEALTH SERVICES, INC,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

This matter comes before the court on the Report and Recommendation (“R & R”) (Doc. 54) of Magistrate Judge Reona J. Daly with regard to Defendant Loral<sup>1</sup> Crank’s Motion (Doc. 51) for Summary Judgment. There were no objections to the R & R.

The Court may accept, reject or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations of the magistrate judge in a report and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). The Court must review *de novo* the portions of the report to which objections are made. The Court has discretion to conduct a new hearing and may consider the record before the magistrate judge anew or receive any further evidence deemed necessary. *Id.* “If no objection or only partial objection is made, the district court judge reviews those unobjected portions for clear error.” *Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999).

The Court has received no objection to the Report.<sup>2</sup> The Court has reviewed the entire file and finds that the R & R is not clearly erroneous. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS**

<sup>1</sup> Defendant Crank’s first name is spelled “Loral” on the docket and in several documents and “Laurel” in the R & R and the Motion for Summary Judgment, and other documents. Since the Court is unsure of the correct spelling, it is using defendant’s name as indicated on the docket.

<sup>2</sup> The Court notes that the R & R was not sent to the plaintiff until July 10, 2017 and any objection would be due on or before July 24, 2017 - not on July 21, 2017 as indicated in the docket. However, the Court also notes that the mail containing the R & R was returned to this Court. Plaintiff has failed to keep the Court advised of his current address as previously directed.

the Report in its entirety (Doc. 54) and **GRANTS** Defendant Loral Crank's Motion (Doc. 51) for Summary Judgment. This matter is **DISMISSED** without prejudice and the Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to enter judgment accordingly.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**DATED:** 7/27/2017

*s/J. Phil Gilbert*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**J. PHIL GILBERT**  
**U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE**