

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

MICHAEL JACKSON,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	CIVIL NO. 3:15-cv-920-NJR-DGW
)	
WEXFORD HEALTH SOURCES, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

WILKERSON, Magistrate Judge:

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff’s Motion for Recruitment of Counsel. (Doc. 3). Having considered the request as required by *Santiago v. Walls*, 599 F.3d 749 (7th Cir. 2010) and *United States v. Norwood*, 602 F.3d 830 (7th Cir. 2010), the Motion is **GRANTED**.

Civil litigants do not have a constitutional or statutory right to counsel. *Pruitt v. Mote*, 503 F.3d 647, 649 (7th Cir. 2007); *Zarnes v. Rhodes*, 64 F.3d 285, 288 (7th Cir. 1995). Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1), however, this Court has discretion to recruit counsel to represent indigents in appropriate cases. *Johnson v. Doughty*, 433 F.3d 1001, 1006 (7th Cir. 2006). In evaluating whether counsel should be appointed, this Court must examine (what are known as) the *Pruitt* factors and apply them to the specific circumstances of this case. *Santiago v. Walls*, 599 F.3d 749, 760 (7th Cir. 2010). The Court must ask: ““(1) has the indigent plaintiff made a reasonable attempt to obtain counsel or been effectively precluded from doing so; and if so, (2) given the difficulty of the case, does the plaintiff appear competent to litigate it himself?”” *Id.* at 761, quoting *Pruitt*, 503 F.3d at 654.

The circumstances presented in this case warrant recruitment of counsel. *See Santiago*, 599 F.3d at 765 (“The situation here is qualitatively different from typical prison litigation.”).

Accordingly, for the reasons stated and in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1) and Local Rule(s) 83.1(i) and 83.9(b), the Court **ASSIGNS** Attorney Darci F. Madden of the firm Bryan Cave – St. Louis, to represent Plaintiff in this Court only. Counsel shall enter his appearance on or before **April 1, 2016**. Counsel is free to share responsibilities with an associate who is also admitted to practice in this district court. Assigned counsel, however, must enter the case and shall make first contact with Plaintiff, explaining that an associate may also be working on the case. Plaintiff should wait for his attorney to contact him in order to allow counsel an opportunity to review the court file. Counsel is **ADVISED** to consult Local Rules 83.8-83.14 regarding *pro bono* case procedures.

Plaintiff is cautioned to consult with his counsel in this matter and to understand that it is assigned counsel who is the legal professional in this relationship. Without commenting on the validity of the matter in litigation, counsel is reminded and plaintiff is advised that counsel, even though appointed by the Court, has an obligation under the rules to refrain from filing frivolous pleadings. As a consequence, counsel will likely, from time to time, advise Plaintiff against taking a certain course of action. While Plaintiff may not totally agree with counsel’s advice, he should realize that, in the long run, such advice will be in his best interest because it is in compliance with the law. Also, counsel may advise Plaintiff to pursue additional claims or to abandon certain existing claims.

Counsel, of course, maintains an ethical obligation to fully and vigorously represent his client, but only to the extent that it does not impede his ethical obligation to follow the rules of the

Court and the law. If Plaintiff wants to be represented by counsel, he will have to cooperate fully with counsel. **Now that counsel has been assigned, Plaintiff shall not personally file anything in this case, except a pleading that asks that he be allowed to have counsel withdraw from representation.** If counsel is allowed to withdraw at the request of Plaintiff, there is no guarantee the Court will appoint other counsel to represent Plaintiff.

Plaintiff and his counsel are **ADVISED** that, because Plaintiff is proceeding *in forma pauperis*, if there is a monetary recovery in this case (either by verdict or settlement), any unpaid out-of-pocket costs must be paid from the proceeds. *See* SDIL-LR 3.1(c)(1). If there is no recovery in the case (or the costs exceed any recovery), the Court has the discretion to reimburse expenses.

Section 2.6 of this Court's Plan for the Administration of the District Court Fund provides for a degree of reimbursement of *pro bono* counsel's out-of-pocket expenses, as funds are available. The Plan can be found on the Court's website, as well as the form motion for out-of-pocket expenses and an Authorization/Certification for Reimbursement. Any motion for reimbursement must be made within 30 days from the entry of judgment, or reimbursement will be waived. *See* SDIL-LR 83.13. The funds available for this purpose are limited, however, and counsel should use the utmost care when incurring out-of-pocket costs. In no event will funds be reimbursed if the expenditure is found to be without a proper basis. The Court has no authority to pay attorney's fees in this case. No portion of a partial filing fee assessed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 will be reimbursed. Assigned counsel may move for an exemption from PACER fees for this case.

The district court has entered into an agreement with attorney James P. Chapman and the

Illinois Institute for Community Law to consult with lawyers on issues in these cases, including substantive and procedural questions (both legal and practical) and dealing with the client. Mr. Chapman can be reached by phone at (312) 593-6998 or email at JamesPChapman@aol.com. His services are available to you free of charge, as long as you are representing a prisoner *pro bono* on a case in the district. You are also encouraged to view online lectures presented by Mr. Chapman at www.illinoislegaladvocate.org (under “Legal Resources” then “Prisoners’ Rights”). In addition, the Court’s website, www.ilsd.uscourts.gov, includes a Prison Litigation handbook which is available to you as a resource. It is listed under “Forms” as “Attorney Information - Prisoner Litigation Handbook.” The Court encourages you to consult it and Mr. Chapman as needed.

Counsel is informed that Plaintiff is currently incarcerated by the Illinois Department of Corrections at the Menard Correctional Center. Plaintiff’s current contact information is: Michael Jackson, M15131, Menard Correctional Center, 711 Kaskaskia Street, PO Box 1000, Menard, IL 62259. Information about the facility is available at www.idoc.state.il.us. Counsel may use the Illinois Department of Corrections’ videoconferencing system to confer with Plaintiff. The Court asks the Assistant Attorney General assigned to this case to facilitate those arrangements.

This matter is **SET** for a telephonic status conference on **April 20, 2016 at 2:30 p.m.** Defendant to initiate the conference call. The Court’s conference number is 618-482-9004. Parties should be prepared to discuss the schedule in this matter.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 18, 2016



DONALD G. WILKERSON
United States Magistrate Judge