Gray v. Shah et al Doc. 57

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

ERIC GRAY,	)
Plaintiff,	) )
VS.	) Case No. 16-cv-00039-JPG-RJE
DR. BAHRAT SHAH, et al.,	)
Defendants.	)

## **JUDGMENT**

This matter having come before the Court, the issues having been heard, and the Court having rendered a decision, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the claims against defendants Vipin Shah, Director I.D.O.C., Suzann Bailey, and Warden Lashbrook for deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's medical needs in violation of the 8<sup>th</sup> Amendment and conspiracy claims are dismissed without prejudice and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment is entered in favor of defendants Vipin Shah, Director I.D.O.C., Suzann Bailey, and Warden Lashbrook and against plaintiff Eric Gray on his claim for deliberate indifference to his medical needs in violation of the 8<sup>th</sup> Amendment and conspiracy claims.

DATED: 12/28/2016

Justine Flanagan
Acting Clerk of Court

<u>s/Tina Gray,</u>Deputy Clerk

**Approved:** <u>s/J. Phil Gilbert</u>

J. PHIL GILBERT DISTRICT JUDGE