Polk v. Watson et al Doc. 6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

| JOE S. POLK, #463201, |) | |
|------------------------|-------|------------------------|
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| vs. |) Cas | se No. 16-cv-01135-JPC |
| RICHARD WATSON, and |) | |
| ST. CLAIR COUNTY JAIL, |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

GILBERT, District Judge:

On October 14, 2016, Plaintiff Joe Polk filed a complaint (Doc. 1) pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Richard Watson and the St. Clair County Jail, where he was allegedly denied adequate medical care. The complaint did not survive threshold review under 28 U.S.C. § 1915; it was dismissed by text order for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted on October 17, 2016. The dismissal was without prejudice to Plaintiff filing a First Amended Complaint on or before November 14, 2016. That deadline has now passed. Plaintiff has not filed a First Amended Complaint. He also has failed to request an extension of the deadline for doing so.

As a result, this case is **DISMISSED with prejudice** for failure to comply with an order of this Court. FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b); *see generally Ladien v. Astrachan*, 128 F.3d 1051 (7th Cir. 1997); *Johnson v. Kamminga*, 34 F.3d 466 (7th Cir. 1994). Further, because the complaint failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, this dismissal shall count as one of Plaintiff's three allotted "strikes" within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

Plaintiff's obligation to pay the filing fee for this action was incurred at the time the

action was filed, thus the filing fee of \$350.00 remains due and payable. See 28 U.S.C.

§ 1915(b)(1); Lucien v. Jockisch, 133 F.3d 464, 467 (7th Cir. 1998).

If Plaintiff wishes to appeal this Order, he may file a notice of appeal with this Court

within thirty days of the entry of judgment. FED. R. APP. 4(A)(4). If Plaintiff does choose to

appeal, he will be liable for the \$505.00 appellate filing fee irrespective of the outcome of the

appeal. See FED. R. APP. 3(e); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2); Ammons v. Gerlinger, 547 F.3d 724, 725-

26 (7th Cir. 2008); Sloan v. Lesza, 181 F.3d 857, 858-59 (7th Cir. 1999); Lucien v. Jockish,

133 F.3d 464, 467 (7th Cir. 1998). Moreover, if the appeal is found to be nonmeritorious,

Plaintiff may also incur another "strike." A proper and timely motion filed pursuant to Federal

Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e) may toll the 30-day appeal deadline. FED. R. APP. P. 4(a)(4).

A Rule 59(e) motion must be filed no more than twenty-eight (28) days after the entry of the

judgment, and this 28-day deadline cannot be extended.

The Clerk's Office is **DIRECTED** to close this case and enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 21, 2016

s/J. Phil Gilbert

United States District Judge

Page 2 of 2