IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

NOBLE R. UPCHURCH,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) Civil No. 16-cv-1272-JPG-CJF
NANCY A. BERRYHILL,)
Defendant.)

ORDER for ATTORNEY'S FEES

This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA") (Doc. 23). Defendant has no objection (Doc. 25).

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A), the Court shall award attorney's fees and expenses to a prevailing party in a civil action against the United States, including proceedings for judicial review of agency action, unless the government's position was substantially justified. The hourly rate for attorney's fees is not to exceed \$125.00 per hour "unless the court determines that an increase in the cost of living or a special factor, such as the limited availability of qualified attorneys for the proceedings involved, justifies a higher fee." § 2412(d)(2)(A).

This case was remanded to the Commissioner for further proceedings pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). Plaintiff is, therefore, the prevailing party. *See Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 302 (1993).

Plaintiff seeks attorney's fees in the amount of \$5,280.35, plus \$400.00 for costs.

In the absence of an objection from the Commissioner, the Court finds that plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorney's fees and costs pursuant to the EAJA and that the hourly rate and number of hours claimed are reasonable.

Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees (Doc. 23) is hereby GRANTED. The Court

awards plaintiff Noble R. Upchurch attorney's fees in the amount of \$5,280.35 (five thousand,

two hundred and eighty dollars and thirty-five cents), plus \$400.00 (four hundred dollars) for

costs.

The amount awarded is payable to plaintiff and is subject to set-off for any debt owed by

plaintiff to the United States, per Astrue v. Ratliff, 560 U.S. 586 (2010). However, any part of

the award that is not subject to set-off to pay plaintiff's pre-existing debt to the United States

shall be made payable to plaintiff's attorney pursuant to the EAJA assignment executed by

plaintiff (Doc. 23, Ex. 6).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 6, 2017

s/ J. Phil Gilbert

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

2