UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

GRACE BROWN,

Plaintiff,

V.

Case No. 17-cv-402-JPG-SCW

EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES and U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff Grace Brown's motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc. 1). A federal court may permit an indigent party to proceed without prepayment of fees. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). Nevertheless, a court can deny a qualified plaintiff leave to file *in forma pauperis* or can dismiss a case if the action is clearly frivolous or malicious or fails to state a claim. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) & (ii). The test for determining if an action is frivolous or without merit is whether the plaintiff can make a rational argument on the law or facts in support of the claim. *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); *Corgain v. Miller*, 708 F.2d 1241, 1247 (7th Cir. 1983). An action fails to state a claim if it does not plead "enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). When assessing a petition to proceed *in forma pauperis*, a district court should inquire into the merits of the petitioner's claims, and if the court finds them to be frivolous, it should deny leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. *Lucien v. Roegner*, 682 F.2d 625, 626 (7th Cir. 1982).

The Court is satisfied from Brown's affidavit that she is indigent. The Court further finds that the action is not clearly frivolous or malicious or that it fails to state a claim. Accordingly,

the Court **GRANTS** the motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. 1). However, the

Court reminds Brown that she has only been excused from *pre*payment of fees. Pursuant to

Local Rule 3.1(c)(1), by applying for *in forma pauperis* status, she and her attorney are deemed

to have entered into a stipulation that the recovery, if any, secured in the action shall be paid to

the Clerk of Court, who shall pay therefrom all unpaid costs taxed against plaintiff and remit the

balance to plaintiff.

The plaintiff having been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, the Court must

order service of process by a United States Marshal or Deputy Marshal or other specially

appointed person. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(c)(3); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d).

If the plaintiff wishes the United States Marshals Service to serve process in this case, the

Court **DIRECTS** the plaintiff to provide to the United States Marshals Service the summons

issued in this case, the appropriately completed USM-285 forms and sufficient copies of the

complaint for service.

The Court further **DIRECTS** the United States Marshal, upon receipt of the

aforementioned documents from the plaintiff and pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure

4(c)(3), to serve a copy of summons, complaint and this order upon the defendants in any manner

consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4, as directed by the plaintiff. Costs of service

shall be borne by the United States.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 9, 2017

s/ J. Phil Gilbert

J. PHIL GILBERT

DISTRICT JUDGE