Larsen v. Baldwin et al Doc. 9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

| BAKI LAKSEN, |) | |
|---------------------|---|------------------------|
| No. R-65815, |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| VS. |) | Case No. 17-cv-558-MJR |
| |) | |
| JOHN BALDWIN, |) | |
| MATTHEW SWALLS, and |) | |
| PENNY GEORGE, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. | | |

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

REAGAN, Chief District Judge:

DADEL ADCEN

Plaintiff, an inmate in Vienna Correctional Center ("Vienna"), commenced this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on May 26, 2017. (Doc. 1). Plaintiff generally alleges that he was injured at Vienna (electrocuted) and did not receive proper treatment for the injury. (Doc. 1, p. 5). Plaintiff also brings vague claims directed against staff working in Vienna's healthcare unit. The Complaint did not survive threshold review under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, and was dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted on August 16, 2017. (Doc. 7). The dismissal was without prejudice to Plaintiff filing a First Amended Complaint on or before September 13, 2017. That deadline has now passed. Plaintiff has not filed a First Amended Complaint. He also has failed to request an extension of the deadline for doing so.

As a result, this case is **DISMISSED with prejudice** for failure to comply with an order of this Court. FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b); *see generally Ladien v. Astrachan*, 128 F.3d 1051 (7th Cir.

1997); Johnson v. Kamminga, 34 F.3d 466 (7th Cir. 1994). Further, because the

Complaint failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, this dismissal shall count as

one of Plaintiff's three allotted "strikes" within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

Plaintiff's obligation to pay the filing fee for this action was incurred at the time the

action was filed, thus the filing fee of \$350.00 remains due and payable. See 28 U.S.C. §

1915(b)(1); Lucien v. Jockisch, 133 F.3d 464, 467 (7th Cir. 1998).

If Plaintiff wishes to appeal this Order, he may file a notice of appeal with this Court

within thirty days of the entry of judgment. FED. R. APP. 4(A)(4). If Plaintiff does choose to

appeal, he will be liable for the \$505.00 appellate filing fee irrespective of the outcome of the

appeal. See FED. R. APP. 3(e); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2); Ammons v. Gerlinger, 547 F.3d 724,

725-26 (7th Cir. 2008); Sloan v. Lesza, 181 F.3d 857, 858-59 (7th Cir. 1999); Lucien v. Jockish,

133 F.3d 464, 467 (7th Cir. 1998). Moreover, if the appeal is found to be nonmeritorious,

Plaintiff may incur an additional "strike." A proper and timely motion filed pursuant to Federal

Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e) may toll the 30-day appeal deadline. FED. R. APP. P. 4(a)(4). A

Rule 59(e) motion must be filed no more than twenty-eight (28) days after the entry of the

judgment, and this 28-day deadline cannot be extended.

The Clerk's Office is **DIRECTED** to close this case and enter judgment accordingly

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 9/26/2017

s/ Michael J. Reagan

Chief Judge

United States District Court

2