

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

**CORBIN D. JONES,** )  
 )  
 )  
 )  
**Plaintiff,** )  
 )  
 )  
 )  
**vs.** )  
 )  
 )  
**C. GREENWOOD,** )  
 )  
 )  
**Defendant.** )  
 )  
 )  
 )

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

**GILBERT, District Judge:**

Plaintiff Corbin D. Jones commenced this *pro se* action for deprivations of his constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. At the time of filing, Plaintiff was housed at the Jefferson County Justice Center. The Complaint included several disjointed allegations regarding a number of possible constitutional violations committed by various individuals. The only allegation involving C. Greenwood (a Mt. Vernon Police Officer and the only named defendant in the Complaint) was a claim pertaining to the alleged destruction of exculpatory evidence. The Complaint did not survive threshold review under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, and was dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted and for failure to comply with Rule 8 on October 17, 2017. (Doc. 8). The dismissal was without prejudice to Plaintiff filing a First Amended Complaint on or before November 15, 2017. That deadline has now passed. Plaintiff has not filed a First Amended Complaint. He also has failed to request an extension of the deadline for doing so.

As a result, this case is **DISMISSED** with prejudice for failure to comply with an order of this Court. FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b); *see generally Ladien v. Astrachan*, 128 F.3d 1051 (7th Cir.

1997); *Johnson v. Kamminga*, 34 F.3d 466 (7th Cir. 1994). Further, because the Complaint failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, this dismissal shall count as one of Plaintiff's three allotted "strikes" within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

Plaintiff's obligation to pay the filing fee for this action was incurred at the time the action was filed, thus the filing fee of \$350.00 remains due and payable. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1); *Lucien v. Jockisch*, 133 F.3d 464, 467 (7th Cir. 1998).

If Plaintiff wishes to appeal this Order, he may file a notice of appeal with this Court within thirty days of the entry of judgment. FED. R. APP. 4(A)(4). If Plaintiff does choose to appeal, he will be liable for the \$505.00 appellate filing fee irrespective of the outcome of the appeal. *See* FED. R. APP. 3(e); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2); *Ammons v. Gerlinger*, 547 F.3d 724, 725-26 (7th Cir. 2008); *Sloan v. Lesza*, 181 F.3d 857, 858-59 (7th Cir. 1999); *Lucien v. Jockish*, 133 F.3d 464, 467 (7th Cir. 1998). Moreover, if the appeal is found to be nonmeritorious, Plaintiff may incur an additional "strike." A proper and timely motion filed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e) may toll the 30-day appeal deadline. FED. R. APP. 4(a)(4). A Rule 59(e) motion must be filed no more than twenty-eight (28) days after the entry of the judgment, and this 28-day deadline cannot be extended.

The Clerk's Office is **DIRECTED** to close this case and enter judgment accordingly

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**DATED: 11/30/2017**

**s/ J. Phil Gilbert**  
**United States District Court**