Hill v. Menges Doc. 3

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

BRIAN HILL,)	
)	
	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Case No. 17-cv-891-JPG
)	
GRANT MENGES,)	
)	
	Defendant.)	

NOTICE OF IMPENDING DISMISSAL

Gilbert, District Judge:

On August 23, 2017, Plaintiff Brian Hill, an inmate in St. Clair County Jail ("Jail"), brought suit for deprivations of his constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Doc. 1). Despite a notification from the Clerk on August 23, 2017 that he would be responsible for a \$400.00¹ filing fee in this action, Plaintiff has not yet paid the fee, nor has he filed a properly supported motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914, 1915.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within FOURTEEN (14) DAYS of the date of the entry of this Order (October 10, 2017), Plaintiff shall pay the \$400 filing fee applicable to this action. In the alternative, Plaintiff may file a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*, supported by a certified copy of his prison trust fund account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the opening of this case and an affidavit that includes a statement of his assets. Plaintiff is ADVISED that in the event he has been transferred among institutions during this six-month period, it is Plaintiff's responsibility to obtain a copy of his prison trust account statement from each such facility and to forward it to the Court. Plaintiff is FURTHER ADVISED that his obligation to pay the filing fee for this action was incurred at the time the

¹ Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1914, effective May 1, 2013, an additional \$50.00 administrative fee is to be assessed in all civil actions, along with a \$350 filing fee, unless pauper status is granted.

case was filed; such an obligation will exist whether or not Plaintiff is granted leave to proceed

in forma pauperis. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1); see also Lucien v. Jockisch, 133 F.3d 464, 467 (7th

Cir. 1998).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon conclusion of this fourteen day period, should

Plaintiff fail to comply with this Order, this case will be closed for failure to comply with an

order of this Court. FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b); see generally Ladien v. Astrachan, 128 F.3d 1051 (7th

Cir. 1997); Johnson v. Kamminga, 34 F.3d 466 (7th Cir. 1994).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 25, 2017

s/J. Phil Gilbert

United States District Judge

2