IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

MICHAEL L. MURPHY,)	
Plaintiff,)	
VS.)	Civil No. 17-cv-951-JPG-CJP
COMMISSIONER of SOCIAL SECURITY,)	
Defendant.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Before the Court is the parties' Joint Motion for Remand for Further Proceedings Pursuant to Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). (Doc. 22).

The parties ask that this case be remanded for further proceedings pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). A sentence four remand (as opposed to a sentence six remand) depends upon a finding of error, and is itself a final, appealable order. *See Melkonyan v. Sullivan*, 501 U.S. 89 (1991); *Perlman v. Swiss Bank Corporation Comprehensive Disability Protection Plan*, 195 F.3d 975, 978 (7th Cir. 1999). Upon a sentence four remand, judgment should be entered in favor of plaintiff. *Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 302-303 (1993).

The parties agree that, upon remand, "On remand, the ALJ will reevaluate the record medical opinions; re-evaluate the claimant's mental RFC, with citation to the medical evidence that supports each of the limitations assessed; re-evaluate the claimant's subjective statements under SSR 16-3p; and, if warranted, obtain additional vocational evidence."

Plaintiff applied for disability benefits in September 2013. (Tr. 26). While recognizing that the agency has a full docket, the Court urges the Commissioner to expedite this case on remand.

For good cause shown, the parties' Joint Motion for Remand (Doc. 22) is **GRANTED**.

The final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security denying plaintiff's application

for social security benefits is REVERSED and REMANDED to the Commissioner for

rehearing and reconsideration of the evidence, pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment in favor of plaintiff.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: MAY 29, 2018

s/ J. Phil Gilbert

J. PHIL GILBERT

DISTRICT JUDGE

2