Murphy v. Baldwin et al Doc. 87

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

JAMELL A. MURPHY,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
VS.) Case No. 17-CV-1154-SMY-RJD
)
JACQUELINE LASHBROOK, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

YANDLE, District Judge:

This matter is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("Report") of United States Magistrate Judge Reona J. Daly (Doc. 82), recommending the denial of Plaintiff's Emergency Motion for Injunctive Relief (Doc. 48). No objections have been filed to the Report. For the following reasons, Judge Daly's Report is **ADOPTED**.

When neither timely nor specific objections to a Report and Recommendation are made, the Court need not conduct a *de novo* review of the Report. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Instead, the Court reviews the Report for clear error. *Johnson v. Zema Systems Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999). The Court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Here, Judge Daly thoroughly discussed and supported her conclusions that Plaintiff has not demonstrated an entitlement to injunctive relief. The Court finds no clear error in Judge Daly's findings, analysis and conclusions, and adopts her Report and Recommendation in its

entirety. Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction (Doc. 48) is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 16, 2019

STACI M. YANDLE

United States District Judge