

ordered that K.D. obtain new counsel by October 4, 2018, to remain a party to this case. Plaintiff A.D. was further ordered to show cause why she failed to appear at the motion hearing as ordered. Plaintiff A.D. was advised that failure to respond to the Show Cause Order may result in the imposition of sanctions, up to and including dismissal of this lawsuit. Plaintiff did not file a response.

Due to Plaintiffs' failure to comply with several orders and their apparent lack of interest in litigating this case, Magistrate Judge Daly now recommends this Court dismiss the action under Rule 41(b) for failure to prosecute. Objections to the Report and Recommendation were due November 26, 2018 (Doc. 53). No objection was filed.

Because no objection was filed, the undersigned District Judge need not undertake *de novo* review. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); *Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 741 (7th Cir. 1999). Instead, the Court should review the Report and Recommendation for clear error. *Johnson*, 170 F.3d at 739. The Court may then "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Court has reviewed Magistrate Judge Daly's Report and Recommendation for clear error. Following this review, the Court agrees with her findings, analysis, and conclusions. The undersigned accordingly **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation in its entirety (Doc. 53). This action is **DISMISSED with prejudice**, and the Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to close this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 29, 2018



NANCY J. ROSENSTENGEL
United States District Judge