Mangine v. True Doc. 5

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

ROBERT ANGELO MANGINE, No. 08244-029,

Petitioner.

Case No. 18-cv-1030-DRH

VS.

WILLIAM TRUE,

Respondent.

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER** 

HERNDON, District Judge:

Pro se Petitioner Robert Angelo Mangine, currently incarcerated in the United States Penitentiary in Marion, Illinois, brings this habeas corpus action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Relying on the case of Mathis v. United States, — U.S. —, 136 S. Ct. 2243 (2016) and related case law, he challenges his enhanced sentence as a career offender based on a prior burglary offense in Iowa. (Doc. 1, p. 10). This case is now before the Court for a preliminary review of the Petition pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in United States District Courts.

Without commenting on the merits of Petitioner's claims, the Court concludes that the Petition survives preliminary review under Rule 4 and Rule 1(b).

**Disposition** 

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent True shall answer or otherwise

plead within thirty days of the date this order is entered (on or before June 14, 2018). This preliminary order to respond does not, of course, preclude the Government from raising any objection or defense it may wish to present. Service upon the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Illinois, 750 Missouri Avenue, East St. Louis, Illinois, shall constitute sufficient service.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that pursuant to Local Rule 72.1(a)(2), this cause is referred to United States Magistrate Judge Clifford J. Proud for further pre-trial proceedings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this entire matter be REFERRED to United States Magistrate Judge Proud for disposition, as contemplated by Local Rule 72.2(b)(2) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), should all the parties consent to such a referral.

Petitioner is **ADVISED** of his continuing obligation to keep the Clerk (and each opposing party) informed of any change in his whereabouts during the pendency of this action. This notification shall be done in writing and not later than seven (7) days after a transfer or other change in address occurs. Failure to

\_

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  The response date ordered herein is controlling. Any date that CM/ECF should generate in the course of this litigation is a guideline only. See SDIL-EFR 3.

provide such notice may result in dismissal of this action.  $See\ \mbox{Fed}$ . R. Civ. P. 41(b).

DavidRehandn

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Judge Herndon

2018.05.15 13:38:30

-05'00'

**United States District Judge**