IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

ADELAIDA ANDERSON, individually, and as Administrator of the Estate of Jeffrey Lee Anderson, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 19-CV-00800

THE RAYMOND CORPORATION,

Defendant.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

McGLYNN, District Judge:

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff Adelaida Anderson's Motion to Include Pre-Judgment Interest in Forthcoming Judgment. (Doc. 382). Anderson seeks application of an Illinois statute that governs prejudgment interest in personal injury and wrongful death cases. See 735 ILCS 5/2-1303. Under section 1303(c), in personal injury or wrongful death actions, "the plaintiff shall recover prejudgment interest on all damages, except punitive damages, sanctions, statutory attorney's fees, and statutory costs, set forth in the judgment." "The statute requires prejudgment interest on damages (with a few exceptions) at a rate of 6% per annum." Costa v. Ramaiah, 2022 WL 7501146, at *1 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 13, 2022) (citing 735 ILCS 5/2-1303). "But under the statute, the amount of prejudgment interest can vary, depending on the existence and amount of a settlement offer." Costa, 2022 WL 7501146, at *1. "Basically, the statute rewards defendants for making prompt settlement offers." Id. "If the judgment is higher than the settlement offer, then the plaintiff can recover

prejudgment interest only on the delta between the judgment and the settlement

offer." Id. "But if the judgment is lower than the settlement offer, then the plaintiff

cannot recover prejudgment interest at all." Id. "So, the defendant does not have to

pay prejudgment interest on the portion of a judgment that is equal to the amount of

a settlement offer." Id.

In response, Defendant Raymond Corporation, citing a few Illinois circuit court

rulings, states that the Illinois statute was unconstitutional on many fronts and that

the statute is fundamentally unfair in its application regardless of constitutionality.

While constitutionality of the statute may be in doubt, as things stand, it appears

that most courts have upheld it, even on appeal. The Court also points out that

"[u]nder Illinois law, the decisions of circuit courts have no precedential value"

Delgado v. Bd. of Election Comm'rs of City of Chicago, 224 Ill. 2d 481, 488, 865 N.E.2d

183, 188 (2007). While Raymond has brought up many valid examples of why the

statute is confounding and facially unfair, the Court will not strike it down. Plaintiff

Adelaida Anderson's Motion to Include Pre-Judgment Interest in Forthcoming

Judgment (Doc. 382) is **GRANTED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 26, 2024

s/ Stephen P. McGlynn STEPHEN P. McGLYNN

U.S. District Judge

Page 2 of 2