

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

MATTHEW RYAN CULWELL,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Case No. 20-cv-00501-JPG
)	
MASSAC COUNTY SHERIFF’S)	
DEPARTMENT,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

GILBERT, District Judge:

On June 1, 2020, Plaintiff Matthew Culwell filed this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for unconstitutional conditions of confinement at Massac County Sheriff’s Department. (Doc. 1). In the Complaint, Plaintiff claimed that he was injured when he fell from a broken bunk bed. The Complaint did not survive screening under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, and the Court dismissed it without prejudice on August 5, 2020. (Doc. 13).

Plaintiff was granted leave to file a First Amended Complaint by September 2, 2020. (*Id.* at 3). However, he was warned that the action would be dismissed with prejudice, if he failed to do so by the deadline. (*Id.*). He was also warned that the dismissal would count as one of his three allotted “strikes” under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). (*Id.*).

Plaintiff missed the deadline for filing the First Amended Complaint. A week has passed since it expired, and he has not requested an extension. The Court will not allow this matter to linger indefinitely. Accordingly, this action shall be dismissed with prejudice for failure to comply with the Court’s Order (Doc. 13) to file a First Amended Complaint and/or to prosecute his claims.

See FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b). The dismissal shall count as one of Plaintiff's three allotted "strikes" within the meaning of Section 1915(g).

Disposition

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED** with prejudice based on Plaintiff's failure to comply with the Court's Order to file a First Amended Complaint (Doc. 13) and prosecute his claims. See FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b); *Ladien v. Astrachan*, 128 F.3d 1051 (7th Cir. 1997); *Johnson v. Kamminga*, 34 F.3d 466 (7th Cir. 1994). This dismissal counts as a "strike" within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

If Plaintiff wishes to appeal this Order, he may file a notice of appeal with this Court within thirty days of the entry of judgment. FED. R. APP. 4(a)(1)(A). If Plaintiff does choose to appeal, he will be liable for the \$505.00 appellate filing fee irrespective of the outcome of the appeal. See FED. R. APP. 3(e); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2); *Ammons v. Gerlinger*, 547 F.3d 724, 725-26 (7th Cir. 2008); *Sloan v. Lesza*, 181 F.3d 857, 858-59 (7th Cir. 1999); *Lucien*, 133 F.3d at 467. He must list each of the issues he intends to appeal in the notice of appeal. Moreover, if the appeal is found to be nonmeritorious, Plaintiff may also incur another "strike." A proper and timely motion filed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e) may toll the 30-day appeal deadline. FED. R. APP. P. 4(a)(4). A Rule 59(e) motion must be filed no more than twenty-eight (28) days after the entry of judgment, and this 28-day deadline cannot be extended.

The Clerk's Office is **DIRECTED** to close this case and enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 9/9/2020

s/J. Phil Gilbert _____
J. PHIL GILBERT
United States District Judge