

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOISUNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *ex rel.*,
MARSHA TURNER AND CAROLYN
SWARTOS,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MICHAELIS JACKSON & ASSOCIATES,
L.L.C., *an Illinois limited liability company*
doing business as Jackson Vision & Laser
Centers, L.L.C., and MICHAELIS BILLY
JACKSON, *M.D.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 03-cv-4219-JPG

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Defendants' Motion for Leave to File a Sur-Reply Brief (Doc. 165). Specifically, Defendants seek to file a sur-reply in the briefing of Plaintiffs' Motion to Admit (Doc. 133).

The Local Rules are clear regarding the submission of sur-replies: "[u]nder no circumstances will sur-reply briefs be accepted." S.D. Ill. L. R. 7.1(g). This absolute rule provides for no exception. Even if the Court were to carve out an exception for Defendants, the instant motion is surely untimely, as the Reply (Doc. 151) to which Defendants seek to respond was filed almost two months ago.

Being fully advised of the premises, the Court **DENIES** the instant motion (Doc. 165).

IT IS SO ORDERED.
DATED: December 3, 2010

s/ J. Phil Gilbert
J. PHIL GILBERT
DISTRICT JUDGE