

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA  
FORT WAYNE DIVISION

RUSSELL D. ROSCO,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	CAUSE NO. 1:14-CV-141
	)	
EQUIFAX INFORMATION	)	
SERVICES, INC.,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on the: (1) Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Susan Collins, filed on September 4, 2015 (DE #67); and (2) Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Susan Collins, also filed on September 4, 2015 (DE #68).

First, Magistrate Collins ruled upon Defendant’s motion to enforce a settlement agreement (DE #54), finding the parties had not reached an agreement, or a meeting of the minds, on at least one essential term, Plaintiff’s receipt of his corrected “credit file,” and denying the motion to enforce a settlement agreement. (DE #67).

Second, Magistrate Collins ruled on three motions for sanctions by filed by pro se Plaintiff, Russell Rosco (DE ##34, 53, 62), and found that the Court had not issued a discovery order with which Equifax had failed to comply, thus the motions for sanctions

were denied. (DE #68.)

More than 14 days have passed and no party has filed any objection to either Report and Recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2); see also *Willis v. Caterpillar, Inc.*, 199 F.3d 902, 904 (7th Cir. 1999) (explaining that the failure to file a timely objection will result in the waiver of the right to challenge a report and recommendation). Therefore, the parties have waived their right to challenge the report and recommendations.

Therefore, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation (DE #67). Accordingly, Defendant's motion to enforce settlement (DE #54) is **DENIED**.

Additionally, the court **ADOPTS** the second Report and Recommendation (DE #68). Accordingly, Plaintiff's motions for sanctions (DE ##34, 53, and 62) are **DENIED**.

**DATED: September 23, 2015**

**/s/ RUDY LOZANO, Judge  
United States District Court**