

Here, the original Complaint did not state a claim because Cape had not identified any nonfrivolous case or appeal which he was unable to file. He had not identified any concrete injury proximately caused by his inability to pursue a nonfrivolous case or appeal. He was permitted to file an amended complaint so that he could specifically identify such a case or appeal. *See Luevano v. Wal-Mart*, 722 F.3d 1014 (7th Cir. 2013). He filed an Amended Complaint, but he still has not identified a nonfrivolous case or appeal he was unable to file nor any concrete injury that he suffered as a result. Therefore, the Amended Complaint does not state a claim either.

For these reasons, this case is DISMISSED pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A because the Amended Complaint does not state a claim.

SO ORDERED on March 7, 2018.

s/Theresa L. Springmann
CHIEF JUDGE THERESA L. SPRINGMANN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT