

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
HAMMOND DIVISION

SHARON BATISTE and LAWRENCE)	
BATISTE,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	Case No. 2:13-cv-390 JD
v.)	
)	
ALICIA MACKLIN, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

OPINION AND ORDER

Now before the Court is the Plaintiffs’ motion to amend the Court’s order dismissing this matter without prejudice. The Plaintiffs filed this suit in Indiana against Wisconsin residents based on events that occurred in Illinois. [DE 1]. The Defendants moved to dismiss the complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction and personal jurisdiction. [DE 1]. In the Plaintiffs’ response to the motion to dismiss, they “acknowledge[d] that this matter is not before the proper court,” and requested that this action be dismissed without prejudice so that it can be re-filed in the appropriate jurisdiction. [DE 17]. Based on the parties’ agreement that this matter should be dismissed, the Court granted the motion on February 4, 2014 and dismissed the complaint without prejudice. [DE 18].

In their current motion, the Plaintiffs “request this Court amend its Order of February 4, 2014 to include that Plaintiffs have up to one (1) year from the date of the Order to re-file their Complaint in the appropriate jurisdiction.” [DE 20]. However, they do not cite any authority for the Court to enter such an order. Over six months have passed since this matter was dismissed, so any relief from the dismissal would have to be based on Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, but the Plaintiffs do not indicate which of those elements apply, or how. In

