UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA HAMMOND DIVISION

Haneef Shakeel Jackson-Bey,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 2:17-CV-294 JVB

Philip P. Simon, Rudy Lozano, and David A. Capp,

Defendants.

OPINION AND ORDER

Haneef Shakeel Jackson-Bey, a prisoner without a lawyer, filed a complaint attempting to

sue two federal district court judges and the United States Attorney based on their involvement

with his criminal case, United States v. Jackson-Bey, 2:09-CR-43-004 (N.D. Ind. filed March 5,

2009), where he pleaded guilty to Sex Trafficking and was sentenced to 180 months in prison.

(ECF 1-2 at 7 and 18--19.) Jackson-Bey claims that the criminal court lacked jurisdiction over him

because he is not a citizen of the United States. (ECF 1-2 at 11--14.)

Regardless of an individual's claimed status of descent, be it as a "sovereign citizen," a "secured-party creditor," or a "flesh-and-blood human being," that person is not beyond the jurisdiction of the courts. These theories should be rejected summarily, however they are presented.

United States v. Benabe, 654 F.3d 753, 767 (7th Cir. 2011). Moreover, these defendants are

immune from claims arising from their involvement with Jackson-Bey's criminal case. See Imbler

v. Pachtman, 424 U.S. 409, 431 (1976), and Stump v. Sparkman, 435 U.S. 349, 359 (1978).

For these reasons, this case is **DISMISSED** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A because it is frivolous and malicious.

SO ORDERED on July 25, 2017.

<u>s/ Joseph S. Van Bokkelen</u> JOSEPH S. VAN BOKKELEN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE