

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA  
HAMMOND DIVISION**

CONSTRUCTION WORKS PENSION	)	
TRUST FUND LAKE COUNTY AND	)	
VICINITY,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No. 2:20-cv-25-PPS-JEM
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
CRI CONSTRUCTION SERVICES,	)	
INC.,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**OPINION AND ORDER**

Before me is a Motion for Entry of Default Judgment filed by Plaintiff Construction Workers Pension Trust Fund Lake County and Vicinity. [DE 9.] The Fund previously obtained an entry of default against Defendant CRI Construction Services, Inc. For the reasons discussed below, I will grant the Motion for Default Judgment and award the Fund the \$7,725.08 in damages, attorneys’ fees, and costs it seeks.

This is a case alleging delinquency and missed payments to a pension fund pursuant to the terms of a collective bargaining agreement. The allegations are relatively straightforward. Plaintiff is a pension fund established for unionized construction workers located in the Northwest Indiana area. [DE 1 at ¶¶ 3-4.] The Defendant is a construction company that employs workers who are covered by a collective bargaining agreement. [*Id.* at ¶¶ 7-8.] CRI Construction thus owes certain

payments to the Fund as part of those agreements. The Fund says that from October 15, 2018 through December 31, 2019, CRI Construction has not made its required contributions on behalf of its workers in violation of the collective bargaining agreement and various laws. [*Id.* at ¶ 9.] The Fund is suing pursuant to various provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) to collect the moneys owed to it. [*See* DE 1.]

The case was filed on January 20, 2020 [DE 1] and summons was issued shortly thereafter. On April 20, 2020, the Fund moved for an extension of time to serve CRI Construction and that motion was granted. [DE 4, 5.] By May 30, 2020, service of the complaint and summons was effectuated by leaving the complaint and summons at the address of CRI Construction's registered agent Carolyn Patterson. [DE 6.] This address likewise appears to be where CRI Construction maintains its principal place of business. [*See* DE 1 at ¶ 6.] In the affidavit of service, the Fund's attorney stated that there had been seven prior attempts to serve CRI Construction and its registered agent in person. [DE 6.]

Despite service being effectuated nearly five months ago, CRI Construction has never responded to this lawsuit and no attorney has entered an appearance in this Court on its behalf. On June 17, 2020, the Fund applied for an Entry of Default [DE 7] and the Clerk of Court entered one on June 22, 2020. [DE 8.] On August 18, 2020, the Fund filed a Motion for Default Judgment seeking \$2,450.08 in liquidated damages and

interest pursuant to the terms of the collective bargain agreement and ERISA. [DE 9 at ¶ 2.] It likewise seeks to recoup \$5,275.00 in attorneys' fees and costs. [*Id.* at ¶ 3.]

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55 governs the entry of defaults and default judgments. *See Lowe v. McGraw-Hill Cos., Inc.*, 361 F.3d 335, 339 (7th Cir. 2004). Prior to obtaining a default judgment under Rule 55(b)(2), there must be an entry of default as provided by Rule 55(a). *See Wolf Lake Terminals, Inc. v. Mut. Marine Ins. Co.*, 433 F. Supp. 2d 933, 941 (N.D. Ind. 2005). Under Rule 55(a), the clerk is to enter the default of a party against whom a judgment is sought when that party has failed to plead or otherwise defend. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a). Here, that has all occurred.

A default judgment establishes, as a matter of law, that the defendant is liable to the plaintiff for each cause of action in the complaint. *E360 Insight v. The Spamhaus Project*, 500 F.3d 594, 602 (7th Cir. 2007). An entry of default judgment, however, is not mandatory simply because a party doesn't appear, it is still a matter of discretion for the district court judge. *See O'Brien v. R.J. O'Brien & Assocs., Inc.*, 998 F.2d 1394, 1398 (7th Cir. 1991). In exercising that discretion, I consider a number of factors, including "whether there is a material issue of fact, whether the default is largely technical, whether the plaintiffs were substantially prejudiced, and how harsh an effect a default judgment might have." *Wolf Lake*, 433 F. Supp. 2d at 941; *see Wright & Miller* 10A FEDERAL PRAC. & PROC. § 2683 (3d ed.). But all well-pleaded facts in the complaint are taken as true for purposes of liability. *Cameron v. Myers*, 569 F. Supp. 2d 762, 764 (N.D. Ind. 2008).

The Fund does not attach the collective bargaining agreement or trust agreements which lay out the obligations of the parties to either its complaint or its motion for default judgment. But because I take the facts of the complaint as true, I will assume their existence and that they say what the Fund says what they say. The complaint is relatively light on facts but the basics of the parties' obligations and CRI Construction's lack of contributions to the relevant pension fund are clear. And there is additional factual support for the default judgment. Specifically, the Fund has included an affidavit from Regina M. Morey, an Administrative Assistant in the Audit Department for the Fund who testifies that she has reviewed CRI Construction's obligations and payments and determined the company is delinquent in the amount of \$2,450.08. [DE 9-1.] That is enough for these purposes to establish liability in this case for purposes of a default judgment. There do not seem to be any apparent issues of disputed fact, and the effect of a default here is not that harsh, it simply requires CRI Construction to pay what it owes on behalf of the workers it employs.

The amount of claimed damages here are relatively straightforward and are supported beyond the mere allegations of the complaint. *See Yang v. Hardin*, 37 F.3d 282, 286 (7th Cir. 1994) (citing *United States v. DiMucci*, 879 F.2d 1488, 1497 (7th Cir. 1988)). Because the damages here are ascertainable and definite based on Ms. Morey's affidavit, no hearing on damages is necessary. *See O'Brien*, 998 F.2d at 1404 (citing *Dundee Cement Co.*, 722 F.2d at 1323); *E360 Insight*, 500 F.3d at 602.

As for the attorney's fees, they are recoverable in ERISA lawsuits like this one pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(2)(D) so long as a claimant shows "some degree of success on the merits" See *Hardt v. Reliance Standard Life Ins. Co.*, 560 U.S. 242, 255 (2010). The affidavit of the Fund's counsel Aaron A. Koonce lays out the hourly rates of attorneys and paralegals in his office and provides that attorneys' fees and costs in this case have totaled \$5,275.00. [DE 9-2.] A bit more detail, such as a billing sheet laying out the time spent on specific tasks would be helpful to support this request, but the affidavit itself is enough evidence. That seems a reasonable amount of time spent investigating this case, drafting the complaint, attempting service on CRI Construction a total of eight times, and drafting a motion for default judgment. The Fund is thus entitled to its attorneys' fees as well.

### **Conclusion**

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff Construction Workers Pension Trust Fund Lake County and Vicinity's Motion for Default Judgment [DE 9] is GRANTED. Defendant CRI Construction Services, Inc., is ORDERED to pay Plaintiff \$2,450.08 in damages and \$5,275.00 in attorneys' fees, for a total of \$7,725.08.

SO ORDERED on September 21, 2020.

/s/ Philip P. Simon  
PHILIP P. SIMON, JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT