



diversity jurisdiction. Hoagland v. Sandberg, Phoenix & Von Gontard, P.C., 385 F.3d 737, 739-743 (7th Cir. 2004). Lastly, while diversity jurisdiction requires that the amount in controversy be greater than \$75,000, 28 U.S.C. § 1332, the plaintiff's complaint contains no allegations about the amount at issue in this case. Johnson v. Wattenbarger, 361 F.3d 991, 993 (7th Cir. 2004).

Although the case may be subject to dismissal on these grounds, the court instead affords the plaintiff twenty days from the date of this order within which to file an amended complaint alleging the existence of diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Simon v. Allstate Employee Group Med. Plan, 263 F.3d 656, 658 n.1 (7th Cir. 2001).

SO ORDERED.

ENTERED: May 12, 2006

/s/ Robert L. Miller, Jr.  
Robert L. Miller, Jr., Chief Judge  
United States District Court