document 3

filed 02/02/2007 page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION

MICHAEL SIMS,)
Petitioner	
v.) CAUSE NO. 3:07-CV-036 RM
SUPERINTENDENT INDIANA STATE PRISON,))
Respondent)

OPINION AND ORDER

Michael Sims, a *pro se* prisoner, filed a petition seeking relief under 28 U.S.C. §2254. Mr. Sims states that he has previously sought habeas corpus relief before this court in *Sims v. Davis*, 3:03-CV-401 (N.D. IND. filed June 3, 2003).

A claim presented in a second or successive habeas corpus application under section 2254 that was presented in a prior application shall be dismissed.

28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(1). Therefore to the extent he is attempting to raise previously presented claims, they must be dismissed.

Before a second or successive application permitted by [28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(2) which was not presented in a prior application] is filed in the district court, the applicant shall move in the appropriate court of appeals for an order authorizing the district court to consider the application.

28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3). Mr. Sims has not obtained an order from the court of appeals. "A district court <u>must</u> dismiss a second or successive petition . . . unless the court of appeals has given approval for its filing." *Nunez v. United States*, 96 F.3d 990, 991 (7th Cir. 1996) (emphasis in original). Therefore to the extent he is attempting to raise new claims, they must also be dismissed.

case 3:07-cv-00036-RLM document 3 filed 02/02/2007 page 2 of 2

For the foregoing reasons, the habeas corpus petition is **DISMISSED** for want of jurisdiction.

SO ORDERED.

ENTERED: February 2, 2007

____/s/ Robert L. Miller, Jr.
Chief Judge
United State District Court