## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

ARTHUR G. BOYD,	)	
Petitioner,	)	
v.	) CAUSE NO. 3:	08-CV-134 TLS
SUPERINTENDENT,	)	
Respondent.	)	

## OPINION AND ORDER

Petitioner Arthur G. Boyd, a *pro se* prisoner, filed an Amended Habeas Corpus Petition [DE 3] seeking to have this Court "enforce [the] order granted on April 18, 2006 by Porter Superior Court allotting petitioner 299 credit days for 299 actual days served." (Am. Pet. ¶ 15, DE 3 at 9.) In response, the Respondent filed a Motion to Dismiss [DE 11] along with a Memorandum in Support [DE 12], and the Petitioner then filed a Traverse [DE 13]. The Respondent argues that the case is moot because Boyd has received the credit time as ordered. Randall Short, Supervisor of Offender Placement Services for the Indiana Department of Corrections (IDOC), provided the following information to support that claim in a signed declaration:

- 2. I have reviewed the DOC's Offender Information System on Arthur G. Boyd, DOC number 983851. I have also reviewed Judge Mary R. Harper's Order Granting Modification of Sentencing Order issued in Cause Number 64D05-0205-FB-4072 and dated April 18, 2006. I can attest that the DOC is in compliance with this Order because the DOC has credited *Mr. Garner* with 299 days physically served and 299 days of earned credit time for a total of 598 days (Exhibit 1B).
- 3. The attached Credit Calculation Detail reflects that Mr. Boyd was properly credited with 299 days time served and 299 days earned credit time (Exhibit 1B).

(Decl. of Randall Short, DE 12-2 at 1) (emphasis added). Attached to the Declaration is the Petitioner's Detail Credit Time Calculation as of August 6, 2008. Although Short's Declaration [DE 12-2] erroneously references a "Mr. Garner," this appears to be a scrivener's error because it also twice mentions Boyd by name and once by IDOC number. Also, attached to the Declaration is the Petitioner's Detail Credit Time Calculation. Although the Petitioner tries to make an issue of this error in his Traverse, the sole question before the Court is whether he has received proper credit for his time served. Therefore, it is irrelevant that the Declaration erroneously mentions a "Mr. Garner."

In his Traverse, the Petitioner argues that "[t]here [are] no records to show that the respondent complied with court order," (Traverse ¶ 1, DE 13-1 at 1), and that

From petitioner's sentencing date of 3-04-2003 till the current time, including but not limited to <u>all</u> the credit days loss, petitioner's record still only reflect 299 actual days, and not the additional 299 credit days for a total of 598 days.

(*Id.* at ¶ 7, DE 13-1 at 4).

It is true that the Detail Credit Time Calculation report (Resp't Ex. 1B, DE 12-2 at 2) can be difficult to read and understand because it contains many rows and columns of numbers.

Nevertheless, the review here is straightforward because it only requires a few pieces of data from this report, which is attached as an Appendix to this Order.

After the column headings, the second row of information demonstrates that the Petitioner received the credit time at issue here. Under the heading "TIME SERVD," the report shows "299," which indicates that he has received credit for the 299 days that he actually physically served. In that same row, under the heading "EARND CR TM," the report shows "299," which indicates that he has received credit for the 299 days of earned credit time

associated with the 299 days that he actually served. This means that he indeed did receive the 299 days credit time *in addition to* the 299 days he actually served, for a total of 598 days credited against his prison term.

This is confirmed by reviewing the numbers in the column titled "REMN TIME," which shows how much time he has remaining to be served. The first number in that column shows that he began with 4749 days remaining to be served, and, after subtracting the 598 days credited by that row, he then had 4151 days remaining (4749-4151=598).

Because the Petitioner has received credit for these days, the Amended Petition [DE 3] is moot, and the Respondent's Motion to Dismiss (DE 11) is GRANTED.

SO ORDERED on September 24, 2008.

s/ Theresa L. Springmann
THERESA L. SPRINGMANN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FORT WAYNE DIVISION

Appendix to Court's Order [DE 14] in *Boyd v. Superintendent*, 3:08-CV-134-TS. The table below is Exhibit 1B of the Respondent's Memorandum in Support [DE 12-2 at 2].

	H000H000000		0.070	но	hr</th
	0.07-31-2000 0.07-31-2000 0.08-20-2000 0.08-20-2000 0.03-005-2000 0.03-005-2000 0.04-2000 0.05-2	ACTION DATE	SENT NO: CAUSE NO: COUNT NO:	OFFENDER: DOC NUMBER:	REPORT ID: WESTVILLE (
	00000000000	NO	002 64D	BO!	CON
	INITIAL ACTION JTC DEPRIVE 45 DAY DEMOTE CG3 PROMOTE TO CC1 PROMOTE TO CC1 PROMOTE 12 DAY DEPRIVE 60 DAY DEPRIVE 60 DAY DEPRIVE 90 DAY DEPRIVE 90 DAY	Ac	002 64D05-0205-FB-4072 01	BOYD, AR' : 983851	: RPT052 CONTROL
	TAL ACTOR OF THE CC3 TE CC3 TO TE TO	ACTION	205-1	ARTHUR 851	TINU
	ACTIO TO CO 110 CO 110 CO 112 CO 112 CO 112 CO 113 CO 113 CO 113 CO 114 CO 115	4	FB-4(	Ħ	7
	0 000000		)72		
	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	FAC/			
	SYP RSYP RS ALM ALM HEW PAP PAP PAP PAP PAP				
	907-30EM 907-31EM 92619 92619 00-018 00-7018 00-7106 00-706	н	EDS HIC:		
	8001000 8001000 8001000	Ħ			
			0 299 4749 03-04-2		
	ZZZZZZZZZZZ	MAN OVR FLG	0 0 9 9 4-2003 1-2002		INDIANA
	111111123111	CRD	Νω		
	2999 1181 1555 298	TIME			DEPARTMENT CREDIT TIM AS OF 08/0
	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	EARN MULT			
	N LIN LI N	EARND CR TM	DOC BCR DOC MRD DIS	100	OF CORRECTION E CALCULATION 5/2008
	299 557 567 2986 1555 1555 1555 1555 1555 1555 1555 15			LOCATION:	KREC'I
		ADJ DAYS		:	NOI
		ADJTD EARND ED TM	REDIT	WCU	
	00000000000	MU	TIME		
		ADJTD EARND CR TM			
	299 299 56 56 198 198 198 195 208	TRE	02-1 07-3 00-0		
	14174 4174 41751 336310 23635 22490 22490 22490 22490 22490 22490 22490 22490 2490	REMN	1370 9-199 0-000		
	1/15/16/16/16/16/16	WZ	00		
-	0000000H0001	PROJ			
	000000000000000000000000000000000000000				
	2375 20076 20076 20076 116823 11228 11228 11064 1084	30			DATE TIME PAGE
	000000000000000000000000000000000000000				13
	10121222 101212222 102895148099	PRD			200
	000000000000000000000000000000000000000				15

